1 2	LATHAM & WATKINS LLP Julie M. Holloway (Bar No. 196942) julie.holloway@lw.com			
3	505 Montgomery Street, Suite 2000 San Francisco, California 94111-6538			
4	Telephone: (415) 391-0600 Facsimile: (415) 395-8095			
5	LATHAM & WATKINS LLP			
6	Richard G. Frenkel (Bar No. 204133) rick.frenkel@lw.com			
7	140 Scott Drive Menlo Park, California 94025-1008			
8	Telephone: (650) 328-4600 Facsimile: (650) 463-2600			
9	Attornava for Dafandant			
10	Attorneys for Defendant ROKU, INC.			
11				
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
14	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
15		GAGENO GV 12 01020 GI		
16		CASE NO. CV 13-01838 SI		
17	SMARTDATA, S.A.,	STIPULATION CONTINUING CASE		
18	Plaintiff,	MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE; AND [PROPOSED] ORDER		
19	V.	[Civil Local Rule 6-1(b)]		
20	ROKU, INC.,			
21	Defendant.			
22				
23	<u>BACKGROUND</u>			
24	Plaintiff SmartData S.A. and Defendant Roku, Inc. (collectively, the "Parties")			
25	respectfully ask the Court to postpone the initial case management conference currently			
26	scheduled for September 13, two days from now, as the parties are extremely close to resolving			
27	their differences, and need the extra time to complete negotiations and enter a stipulated			
28	dismissal with the Court.			
์หเพร⊯์		STIPLII ATION CONTINUING CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE		

1	
2	pre
3	23
4	Ju
5	dis
6	the
7	
8	sti

Pursuant to Local Rule 6-2(a)(2), the Parties provide the following information regarding previous time modifications in this case. SmartData filed its complaint against Roku on April 23, 2013. The Parties stipulated for an extension of time for Roku to have through and including June 17, 2013 to file its response to Plaintiff's complaint. (Dkt. #5). Roku filed a motion to dismiss (Dkt. #10), after which SmartData amended its complaint (Dkt. #19). Roku answered the amended complaint on August 19, 2013. (Dkt. #26).

The initial case management conference has been continued on two occasions. The first stipulated continuation was due to a scheduling conflict. (Dkt. #6). The Parties stipulated for the second continuation in order to allow for more time for settlement discussions. (Dkt. #21). Those discussions have been successful, as the Parties have engaged in productive settlement discussions since stipulating to the continuation in July 2013.

The initial case management conference is currently set for September 13, 2013. (Dkt. #24). The Parties have agreed on some aspects of an agreement to resolve this matter, and believe that additional discussions in September and October 2013 will result in a settlement that would dispose of this matter. To that end, the Parties respectfully request that the initial case management conference be continued until November 1, 2013 with the other dates set by the Order Setting Initial Case Management Conference and ADR Deadlines (Dkt. #2) continued accordingly.¹

STIPULATION

For the foregoing reasons, and pursuant to Local Rules 16-2(e) and 7-12, the Parties hereto stipulate, by and through their attorneys that the initial case management conference be continued to November 1, 2013, or such later date as is convenient to the Court, with the other dates set by the Order Setting Initial Case Management Conference and ADR Deadlines (Dkt. #2) continued accordingly.

The Parties have a phone call with the ADR officer scheduled for September 12 at 2:30 p.m. pursuant to their filing of a Need for ADR Phone Call form. The Parties anticipate that if the extra time does not result in the dismissal of this litigation, they will submit a stipulation for a different form of ADR, which will obviate the need for an ADR phone call.

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
2		
3		Dated: September 11, 2013
4	Dated: <u>September 11, 2013</u> MOUNT, SPELMAN & FINGERMAN, P.C.	LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
5	LAW OFFICES OF	
6	LAW OFFICES OF LARISA MIGACHYOV	
7		
8		
9	By <u>/s/ Larisa Migachyov</u>	By Richard G. Frenkel
10	LARISA MIGACHYOV	RICHARD G. FRENKEL
11	SMARTDATA, S.A.	Attorneys for Defendant ROKU, INC.
12		
13		
14 15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

[PROPOSED] ORDER GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT: A case management conference will be held on November 1, 2013, and the other dates set by the Order Setting Initial Case Management Conference and ADR Deadlines (Dkt. #2) are continued accordingly. DATED: 9/12/13 , 2013 THE HONORABLE SUSAN ILLSTON United States District Judge

ATTESTATION CLAUSE I, Richard G. Frenkel, hereby attest in accordance with General Order No. 45.X(B) that Larisa Migachyov, counsel for Plaintiff SMARTDATA, S.A., has provided her concurrence with the electronic filing of the foregoing document entitled STIPULATION CONTINUING CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE; AND [PROPOSED] ORDER. Dated: September 11, 2013 Richard G. Frenkel