1			
2			
3			
4 5			
6			
7			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	IN RE HELLER EHRMAN LLP,	No. CV 13-01775 CRB CV 13-01890 CRB	
12	Liquidating Debtor.	CV 13-01890 CRB CV 13-01891 CRB CV 13-01892 CRB	
13		-	
14	HELLER EHRMAN LLP	ORDER DENYING MOTIONS FOR LEAVE TO FILE INTERLOCUTORY	
15	Plaintiff,	APPEAL	
16	v.		
17 18	ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP ET AL.,		
19	Defendants.		
20		<del>-</del>	
21	These four cases stem from the dissolution of the law firm Heller Ehrman LLP.  ("Heller"). Defendants seek leave from this Court to appeal from the Bankruptcy Court's		
22			
23	interlocutory summary judgment ruling on fraudulent transfer claims brought by Heller's		
24	plan administrator. Having considered whether the appeal presents a controlling question of		
25	law as to which there is substantial ground for difference of opinion, and whether an immediate appeal would materially advance the ultimate termination of the litigation, see 28		
26			
27	//		
28	//		

## United States District Court For the Northern District of California

1	U.S.C. § 158(a)(3); <u>In re Belli</u> , 268 B.R. 851, 858 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 2001); <u>Oliner v.</u>	
2	Kontrabecki, 305 B.R. 510, 527 (N.I	D. Cal. 2004), the Court DENIES the motions.
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
4		
5		_ CP
6	Dated: May 10, 2013	CHARLES R. BREYER
7		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
<ul><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>		
26		
28		
40	II .	