

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10

11 IN RE HELLER EHRMAN LLP,  
12 Liquidating Debtor.

No. CV 13-01775 CRB  
CV 13-01890 CRB  
CV 13-01891 CRB  
CV 13-01892 CRB

13  
14 HELLER EHRMAN LLP  
15 Plaintiff,

**ORDER DENYING MOTIONS FOR  
LEAVE TO FILE INTERLOCUTORY  
APPEAL**

16 v.

17 ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE  
18 LLP ET AL.,  
19 Defendants.  
20

21 These four cases stem from the dissolution of the law firm Heller Ehrman LLP.  
22 (“Heller”). Defendants seek leave from this Court to appeal from the Bankruptcy Court’s  
23 interlocutory summary judgment ruling on fraudulent transfer claims brought by Heller’s  
24 plan administrator. Having considered whether the appeal presents a controlling question of  
25 law as to which there is substantial ground for difference of opinion, and whether an  
26 immediate appeal would materially advance the ultimate termination of the litigation, see 28

27 //

28 //

//

1 U.S.C. § 158(a)(3); In re Belli, 268 B.R. 851, 858 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 2001); Oliner v.  
2 Kontrabecki, 305 B.R. 510, 527 (N.D. Cal. 2004), the Court DENIES the motions.

3 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

4  
5  
6 Dated: May 10, 2013



CHARLES R. BREYER

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE