

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

HENRY KING and MAOYUN ZHANG, No. C-13-1897 EMC
Plaintiffs,

**ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFFS'
MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
WITHOUT PREJUDICE**

16 In this action requesting review of the decision by the Secretary of Health and Human
17 Services denying Plaintiffs' Social Security benefits, Plaintiffs have filed a "Notice of Motion and
18 Motion to and Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support Thereof." Docket No. 10.
19 Though it is not entirely clear from the face of the motion, it seems that Plaintiffs intend this to be a
20 motion for summary judgment. This motion, however, is premature. As explained in the procedural
21 order issued on April 25, 2013, Plaintiffs should file their motion for summary judgment or motion
22 for remand within 28 days after Defendant files its answer and a certified copy of the administrative
23 record. Docket No. 3. This is to allow Plaintiffs the opportunity to review the administrative record
24 so that they may cite to appropriate sections of the record in their motion.

| | |
|----|-----|
| 25 | /// |
| 26 | /// |
| 27 | /// |
| 28 | /// |

1 As Defendant has not yet filed its answer or a certified copy of the administrative record, this
2 Court finds that Plaintiffs' motion is premature, and thus **DENIES** the motion without prejudice.
3 Plaintiffs may re-submit a motion for summary judgment within 28 days of service of Defendant's
4 answer.

5 This order disposes of Docket No. 10.

6
7 IT IS SO ORDERED.

8
9 Dated: May 23, 2013

10
11 
12 EDWARD M. CHEN
13 United States District Judge

14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28