

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
v.
JOHN MUIR HEALTH, et al.,
Defendants.

Case No. [13-cv-01924-SI](#) (JCS)

**ORDER REGARDING
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE
UNDER SEAL**

Re: Dkt. No. 78

Good cause showing, Plaintiff-Relator’s administrative motion to file under seal (dkt. 78) is GRANTED. In addition to the material that Plaintiff-Relator has redacted and requested to seal, however, the Court notes that the first page of the document at issue also contains Plaintiff-Relator’s unredacted social security number,¹ and a taxpayer identification number that appears to correspond to a non-party individual. Such identifying information is protected by Rule 5.2(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The Court has therefore sealed docket entries 76-4 and 78-3 sua sponte. Plaintiff-Relator is instructed to file a revised redacted version of that document, with the above mentioned identification numbers redacted,² **no later than September 1, 2016**. If Plaintiff-Relator fails to do so, the Court will not consider the document at issue.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 25, 2016



JOSEPH C. SPERO
Chief Magistrate Judge

¹ Although Rule 5.2(h) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provides that a person waives protection of his or her own identifying information by filing it without redaction, the Court, in an abundance of caution, grants Plaintiff-Relator an opportunity to redact her social security number if she wishes to do so.

² It is unclear whether the “TIN” included on the final two pages of the document is an individual’s taxpayer identification number. If it is, that must be redacted as well.