1	
2	
3	
4	
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 7	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7 8	ACTIVEVIDEO NETWORKS, INC., No. C-13-1980 EMC
9	Plaintiff,
10	v. ORDER RE SUR-REPLY
11	TRANS VIDEO ELECTRONICS, LTD., (Docket No. 8)
12	Defendant.
13	/
14	
15	Defendant Trans Video Electronics, Ltd. ("TVE") has filed a motion to dismiss based lack of
16	subject matter jurisdiction and lack of personal jurisdiction. In its reply brief, TVE has now offered
17	what appears to be a covenant not to sue. See Djurovich Decl. ¶ 20. Because Plaintiff ActiveVideo
18	Networks, Inc. ("AV") has not been given an opportunity to comment on the covenant not to sue, the
19	Court hereby orders AV to file a sur-reply, limited to the issue of the covenant not to sue – in
20	particular, what impact that covenant has on subject matter jurisdiction. The sur-reply shall be filed
21	within one week of the date of this order.
22	IT IS SO ORDERED.
23	
24	Dated: July 30, 2013
25	talk
26	EDWARD M. CHEN United States District Judge
27	
28	

United States District Court For the Northern District of California