1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DANIEL ABRAHAMSON (BAR NO. 158668) DAbrahamson@drugpolicy.org TAMAR TODD (BAR NO. 211865) TTodd@drugpolicy.org THESHIA NAIDOO (BAR NO. 209108) TNaidoo@drugpolicy.org LINDSAY LASALLE (BAR NO. 267072) LLaSalle@drugpolicy.org DRUG POLICY ALLIANCE 918 Parker Street, Building A21 Berkeley, California 94710 Telephone: 510.229.5211 Facsimile: 510.295.2810 Attorneys for Claimant CITY OF BERKELEY				
9					
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION				
13					
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No: CV 13-2027 JST			
15 16	Plaintiff,	JOINT STIPULATION REQUEST PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 6-2 FOR OPDER ENLARCING TIME TO RESPOND			
17	VS.	ORDER ENLARGING TIME TO RESPOND TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY HIDCOMENT, IDPOROSEDI			
18	REAL PROPERTY AND IMPROVEMENTS LOCATED AT 2366 SAN PABLO AVENUE,	SUMMARY JUDGMENT; [PROPOSED] ORDER PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 7- 12			
19	BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA,	Trial Date: None Set			
20	Defendant.				
21 22	NAHLA DROUBI,				
22	Owner of Record.				
24		J ATED REQUEST			
25	JOINT STIPULATED REQUEST Pursuant to Local Rule 6-2, all parties to this matter, by and through their respective				
26	attorneys of record, hereby do stipulate and request that the deadline to respond to Plaintiff's Motion				
27	for Summary Judgment ("MSJ") be extended to 14 days after the date on which the Court rules on				
28					
		1			
	JOINT STIPULATED REQUEST PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 6-2 FOR ORDER ENLARGING TIME TO RESPOND TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT; [PROPOSED] ORDER PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 7-12				
	Dockets.Justia.				

1	Plaintiff's Motion to Strike the Claim on City of Berkeley for lack of standing filed on March 21,					
2	2014 and continuation of the hearing on the MSJ until such time as the briefing is complete.					
3	Ther	There will be no prejudice to Plaintiff because Plaintiff's reply will run from the new date				
4	pursuant to]	pursuant to Local Rule 7-3.				
5	DATED:	May 13, 2014	DRUG POLICY ALLIANCE			
6						
7			/s/ Tamar Todd TAMAR TODD			
8			Attorneys for Claimant			
9			CITY OF BERKELEY			
10	DATED:	May 13, 2014	FLYNN RILEY BAILEY & PASEK LLP			
11		May 13, 2011				
12			/s/ David Tillotson			
13			David Tillotson Attorneys for Owner of Record			
14			Nahla Droubi			
15						
16	DATED:	May 13, 2014	HENRY G. WYKOWSKI & ASSOCIATES			
17						
18			/s/ Henry G. Wykowski HENRY G. WYKOWSKI			
19			Attorneys for Claimant			
20			BERKELEY PATIENTS GROUP, INC.			
21	DATED:	May 13, 2014	SARA M. TAYLOR			
22		•	Attorney at Law			
23						
24			/s/ Sara M. Taylor Sara M. Taylor			
25			Attorney for Claimants,			
26			MARY DAVIS, ETIENNE FONTAN, CINDY SMITH, JEFFREY BISHOP,			
27			ROBIN BISHOP, GWENDOLYN MCCALOPE			
28						
			2			
			ANT TO LOCAL RULE 6-2 FOR ORDER ENLARGING TIME TO R SUMMARY JUDGMENT; [PROPOSED] ORDER PURSUANT TO			
	LOCAL RULE 7-12					

1	DATED:	May 13, 2014	MELINDA HAAG
2			United States Attorney
3			/s/ Amore I. Dontoot
4			/s/ Arvon J. Perteet ARVON J. PERTEET
5			Assistant United States Attorney
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12 13			
13 14			
14			
15			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
			3
	JOINT STI RESPOND T	PULATED REQUEST PURSUANT TO L O PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMA	OCAL RULE 6-2 FOR ORDER ENLARGING TIME TO RY JUDGMENT; [PROPOSED] ORDER PURSUANT TO
		LOCAL	RULE 7-12
	1		

Ш

1	[PROPOSED] ORDER
2	
3	The parties shall contact the Courtroom Deputy Clerk via e-mail once the opposition brief
4	is filed to secure a new hearing date on the Motion for Summary Judgment.
5	PURUSANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.
6	STALL G
7	DATED: May 15, 2014
8	IT IS SO ORDERED AS MODIFIED
9	AS MIC Just E
10	Judge Jon S. Tigar
11	Per Juas
12	
13	ERV DISTRICT OF CS
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	4
	JOINT STIPULATED REQUEST PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 6-2 FOR ORDER ENLARGING TIME TO RESPOND TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT; [PROPOSED] ORDER PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 7-12