

1
2
3
4
5
6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7
8 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

9
10 MONIQUE MANCHOUCK, as an individual,
and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

No. C 13-02148 WHA

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 MONDELÉZ INTERNATIONAL INC.,
14 a/b/a NABISCO,

Defendant.
15 _____/

**ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S
MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE
UNTIMELY RESPONSE IN
OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S
MOTION TO DISMISS THE FIRST
AMENDED COMPLAINT**

16
17
18 Plaintiff has moved for leave to file an untimely response in opposition to defendant's
19 motion to dismiss the first amended complaint. On August 2, 2013, plaintiff filed a first amended
20 complaint (Dkt. 17). On August 16, 2013, defendant filed a motion to dismiss the first amended
21 complaint (Dkt. 24). The Court set August 30, 2013, as plaintiff's deadline to respond to
22 defendant's motion to dismiss. No response was filed.

23 On September 17, 2013, plaintiff filed a motion for leave to file an untimely response in
24 opposition to defendant's motion to dismiss (Dkt. 27). Plaintiff claims that a clerical error was to
25 blame for the failure to timely file. After considering plaintiff's motion, the court grants leave to
26 file a response by **WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 18, 2013, AT 5 P.M.**
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Defendant's reply is due by **MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 23, 2013, AT NOON.**

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 18, 2013.



WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE