

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 IN RE HELLER EHRMAN LLP,
12 Liquidating Debtor.

No. CV 13-2192 CRB

13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR
LEAVE TO FILE INTERLOCUTORY
APPEAL**

HELLER EHRMAN LLP

Plaintiff,

v.

ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE
LLP,

Defendant.

21 This case stems from the dissolution of the law firm Heller Ehrman LLP (“Heller”).
22 Defendant seeks leave from this Court to appeal from the Bankruptcy Court’s interlocutory
23 summary judgment ruling on a fraudulent transfer claim brought by Heller’s plan
24 administrator. Having considered whether the appeal presents a controlling question of law
25 as to which there is substantial ground for difference of opinion, and whether an immediate
26 appeal would materially advance the ultimate termination of the litigation, see 28

//


//

//

1 U.S.C. § 158(a)(3); In re Belli, 268 B.R. 851, 858 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 2001); Oliner v.
2 Kontrabecki, 305 B.R. 510, 527 (N.D. Cal. 2004), the Court DENIES the motion.

3 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

4
5 Dated: May 20, 2013


6 CHARLES R. BREYER
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28