

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TRUE HEALTH CHIROPRACTIC,
Plaintiff(s),
v.
MCKESSON CORPORATION,
Defendant(s).

No. C-13-02219 DMR

**ORDER DENYING JOINT DISCOVERY
LETTER [DOCKET NO. 76] WITHOUT
PREJUDICE**

The parties have filed a joint discovery letter before Judge Tigar. [Docket No. 76.] The motion should have been addressed to Judge Ryu, to whom Judge Tigar has referred all discovery matters in this case. See Docket No. 47. This court's standing order requires parties to meet and confer to try to resolve their disagreements prior to filing a joint discovery letter. See Docket No. 48. Defendant avers that Plaintiff has not met and conferred. See Docket No. 76 at 5.

Accordingly, the joint discovery letter is **denied without prejudice**. The parties shall meet and confer following the procedures in the standing order. If they are unable to resolve this dispute without judicial intervention, they shall file a joint discovery letter by **June 23, 2014**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 12, 2014



DONNA M. RYU
United States Magistrate Judge