Garibaldi v. Bank of America Corporation

Doc 30

1	WHEREAS, Plaintiff Sheri Garibaldi ("Plaintiff") filed the above-captioned
2	Complaint in the San Mateo County Superior Court on April 12, 2013;
3	WHEREAS, Defendant Bank of America Corporation ("BAC") removed this
4	case to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California on
5	May 15, 2013;
6	WHEREAS, BAC's last day to file a responsive pleading to the Complaint
7	was May 22, 2013;
8	WHEREAS, on May 22, 2013, the parties stipulated to extend BAC's time
9	within which to respond to Plaintiff's Complaint by two days, up to and including
10	May 24, 2013 (Dkt. 6);
11	WHEREAS, on May 24, 2013, the parties stipulated to extend BAC's time
12	within which to respond to Plaintiff's Complaint by twelve days, up to and including
13	June 5, 2013 (Dkt. 9);
14	WHEREAS, on June 5, 2013, Plaintiff filed a First Amended Complaint (Dkt.
15	15), which, among other things, substituted Bank of America, N.A. ("BANA") for
16	Bank of America Corporation;
17	WHEREAS, the Parties agreed to multiple stipulations of BANA's time to
18	respond to FAC in connection with the Parties' efforts to mediate the case;
19	WHEREAS, the Parties agreed that Plaintiff could file a Second Amended
20	Complaint ("SAC") and that BANA would have 21 days from the date of filing of
21	the SAC to file its response, so that BANA's deadline to respond to the SAC would
22	be after the mediation in this matter, which is scheduled for October 9, 2013;
23	WHEREAS, on September 24, 2013, Plaintiff filed her SAC (Dkt. 25);
24	WHEREAS, on October 8, 2013, the Parties stipulated to Provide BANA
25	until October 15, 2013 to respond to the SAC (Dkt. 27);
26	WHEREAS, on October 9, 2013, the Parties took part in a private mediation,
27	which resulted in a mediator's proposal that may resolve portions of the lawsuit, and

1	which is still pending;
2	WHEREAS, to facilitate the process of exploring a potential settlement, the
3	Parties agree to extend BANA's deadline to respond to the SAC by an additional 7
4	days, up to and including October 22, 2013;
5	NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by
6	and between Plaintiff and BANA through their respective undersigned counsel that
7	BANA will have an extension, up to and through October 22, 2013, to serve and file
8	its response to the Second Amended Complaint.
9	DATED: October 15, 2013 McGuireWoods LLP
10	
11	By: <u>/s/ John A. Van Hook</u>
12	John A. Van Hook Attorneys for Defendant Bank of America, N.A.
13	Bank of America, N.A.
14	DATED: October 15, 2013 BAKER LAW PRACTICE
15	
16	By: /s/ Chris Baker
17	Chris Baker Attorneys for Plaintiff Sheri Garibaldi
18	Sheri Garibaldi
19	
20	
21 22	
23	
23	
25	
26	
27	
28	

[PROPOSED] ORDER

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

The Court, having read and considered the Parties' SECOND STIPULATION
FOR EXTENSION OF DEADLINE FOR DEFENDANT TO RESPOND TO
SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT, and finding good cause in support thereof,
the Court hereby orders that Defendant shall have until October 22, 2013 to file and
serve its response to the Second Amended Complaint.

9 DATED: 10/15/13

HON. SUSAN ILLSTON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I hereby certify that on October 15, 2013, I electronically transmitted the foregoing document to the Clerk's Office using the CM/ECF System for filing and service via transmittal of a Notice of Electronic Filing. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on October 15, 2013, at Los Angeles, California. /s/ John A. Van Hook JOHN A. VAN HOOK