

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
6 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
7

8 CATHERINE SCHOOP,  
9 Plaintiff,

No. C 13-02230 SI  
Related Case Nos. 13-02232, 13-02233

10 v.

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY CASE  
SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FOR  
FAILURE TO PROSECUTE**


11 COMMISSIONER OF INTERNAL REVENUE  
12 and UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
13 Defendants.  
14 \_\_\_\_\_/

15 Plaintiff Schoop filed this and related actions against defendants to quash summonses. On July  
16 12, 2013, defendant filed a motion to dismiss and compel compliance with the summonses. The hearing  
17 was set for August 30, 2013, and plaintiff's opposition was due on July 26, 2013. Plaintiff did not file  
18 an opposition, nor did plaintiff request an extension of time.

19 **Accordingly, plaintiff is ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE in writing no later than August**  
20 **30, 2013, why this case should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute.** See Fed. R. Civ. Proc.  
21 41(b). If plaintiff does not respond, this action will be dismissed without prejudice. The August 30,  
22 2013 hearing date is VACATED.

23 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**  
24

25 Dated: August 12, 2013

26   
27 \_\_\_\_\_  
28 SUSAN ILLSTON  
United States District Judge