

1
2
3
4
5
6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7
8 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

9 ROSS SHADE,

No. C 13-2303 MMC

10 Plaintiff,

**ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S
MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION**

11 v.

12 RICHARD E. ANDERSON, et al.,


13 Defendants.
14 _____/

15 Before the Court is plaintiff's "Request for Reconsideration," filed July 1, 2013, by
16 which motion plaintiff requests the Court reconsider its order dismissing the above-titled
17 action. (See Order filed June 17, 2013.) Plaintiff fails, however, to make any showing as to
18 the requisite grounds for such relief. See Fed. Rule. Civ. P. 60(b) (setting forth grounds for
19 relief from final judgment). In particular, plaintiff essentially repeats the factual allegations
20 made in his complaint and makes reference to an additional statute, 42 U.S.C. § 1985. To
21 the extent plaintiff repeats his factual allegations, the Court fully considered those
22 allegations in its order of dismissal; to the extent plaintiff now seeks to rely on § 1985, such
23 additional citation is unavailing as the events on which the complaint is based do not, and
24 could not, support a claim under said statute.

25 Accordingly, plaintiff's motion is hereby DENIED.

26 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

27 Dated: July 8, 2013
28


MAXINE M. CHESNEY
United States District Judge