| 1  | DENNIS J. HERRERA, State Bar #139669<br>City Attorney                   |   |  |   |
|--|---|---|--|---|
| 2  | CHERYL ADAMS, State Bar #164194   |   |  |   |
| 3  | Chief Trial Deputy BRADLEY A. RUSSI, State Bar #256993                  |   |  |   |
| 4  | Deputy City Attorney Fox Plaza  |   |  |   |
| İ  | 1390 Market Street, Sixth Floor<br>San Francisco, California 94102-5408 |   |  |   |
| 5  | Telephone: (415) 554-3964   |   |  |   |
| 6  | Facsimile: (415) 554-3837<br>E-Mail: brad.russi@sfgov.org               |   |  |   |
| 7  |   |   |  |   |
| 8  | Attorneys for Defendant CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO                |   |  |   |
| 9  | CITT AND COONTT OF SANTRANCISCO   |   | ·  |   |
| 10   |   |   |  |   |
| 11   | UNITED STATI  | ES DISTRICT COU   | JRT  |   |
| 12   | NORTHERN DIST   | TRICT OF CALIFO   | RNIA   |   |
| 13   | JAMES ELLIS JOHNSON,  | Case No. CV 13-0  | 02405 EMC  |   |
|  | Plaintiff,  | STIDIII ATION   | AND [PROPOSED] ORDE  | n |
| 14   | Fiamuni,  |   |  |   |
| 14<br>15   | vs.   | REGARDING B MOTION TO D   | RIEFING SCHEDULE ON<br>ISMISS OF CITY AND  |   |
|  | vs.<br>UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CITY                                   | REGARDING B<br>MOTION TO DI<br>COUNTY OF SA<br>CONTINUING O               | RIEFING SCHEDULE ON  |   |
| 15   | vs. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,         | REGARDING B<br>MOTION TO DI<br>COUNTY OF SA<br>CONTINUING C<br>CONFERENCE | RIEFING SCHEDÜLE ON<br>ISMISS OF CITY AND<br>AN FRANCISCO AND<br>CASE MANAGEMENT |   |
| 15<br>16   | vs.<br>UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CITY                                   | REGARDING B<br>MOTION TO DI<br>COUNTY OF SA<br>CONTINUING O               | RIEFING SCHEDÜLE ON<br>ISMISS OF CITY AND<br>AN FRANCISCO AND                    |   |
| 15<br>16<br>17<br>18                                     | vs. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,         | REGARDING B<br>MOTION TO DI<br>COUNTY OF SA<br>CONTINUING C<br>CONFERENCE | RIEFING SCHEDÜLE ON<br>ISMISS OF CITY AND<br>AN FRANCISCO AND<br>CASE MANAGEMENT |   |
| 15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19                               | vs. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,         | REGARDING B<br>MOTION TO DI<br>COUNTY OF SA<br>CONTINUING C<br>CONFERENCE | RIEFING SCHEDÜLE ON<br>ISMISS OF CITY AND<br>AN FRANCISCO AND<br>CASE MANAGEMENT |   |
| 15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                         | vs. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,         | REGARDING B<br>MOTION TO DI<br>COUNTY OF SA<br>CONTINUING C<br>CONFERENCE | RIEFING SCHEDÜLE ON<br>ISMISS OF CITY AND<br>AN FRANCISCO AND<br>CASE MANAGEMENT |   |
| 15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21                   | vs. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,         | REGARDING B<br>MOTION TO DI<br>COUNTY OF SA<br>CONTINUING C<br>CONFERENCE | RIEFING SCHEDÜLE ON<br>ISMISS OF CITY AND<br>AN FRANCISCO AND<br>CASE MANAGEMENT |   |
| 15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                         | vs. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,         | REGARDING B<br>MOTION TO DI<br>COUNTY OF SA<br>CONTINUING C<br>CONFERENCE | RIEFING SCHEDÜLE ON<br>ISMISS OF CITY AND<br>AN FRANCISCO AND<br>CASE MANAGEMENT |   |
| 15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21                   | vs. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,         | REGARDING B<br>MOTION TO DI<br>COUNTY OF SA<br>CONTINUING C<br>CONFERENCE | RIEFING SCHEDÜLE ON<br>ISMISS OF CITY AND<br>AN FRANCISCO AND<br>CASE MANAGEMENT |   |
| 15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22             | vs. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,         | REGARDING B<br>MOTION TO DI<br>COUNTY OF SA<br>CONTINUING C<br>CONFERENCE | RIEFING SCHEDÜLE ON<br>ISMISS OF CITY AND<br>AN FRANCISCO AND<br>CASE MANAGEMENT |   |
| 15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23       | vs. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,         | REGARDING B<br>MOTION TO DI<br>COUNTY OF SA<br>CONTINUING C<br>CONFERENCE | RIEFING SCHEDÜLE ON<br>ISMISS OF CITY AND<br>AN FRANCISCO AND<br>CASE MANAGEMENT |   |
| 15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23<br>24 | vs. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,         | REGARDING B<br>MOTION TO DI<br>COUNTY OF SA<br>CONTINUING C<br>CONFERENCE | RIEFING SCHEDÜLE ON<br>ISMISS OF CITY AND<br>AN FRANCISCO AND<br>CASE MANAGEMENT |   |
| 15   16   17   18   19   20   21   22   23   24   25     | vs. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,         | REGARDING B<br>MOTION TO DI<br>COUNTY OF SA<br>CONTINUING C<br>CONFERENCE | RIEFING SCHEDÜLE ON<br>ISMISS OF CITY AND<br>AN FRANCISCO AND<br>CASE MANAGEMENT |   |

Stip. & Proposed Order CASE NO. CV 13-02405 EMC

Stip. & Proposed Order
CASE NO. CV 13-02405 EMC

28

On February 24, 2014, Defendant City and County of San Francisco filed a motion to dismiss the amended complaint. The parties stipulate that due to the unavailability of counsel for the City, the City may file its reply brief in support of the motion on or before April 3, 2014.

A further case management conference in this matter is set for March 27, 2014. The parties stipulate and agree to continue the case management conference to April 17, 2014, at 1:30 p.m., to be heard in conjunction with the motions to dismiss of Defendant United States and Defendant City and County of San Francisco.

The parties request that the Court enter the attached proposed order regarding the briefing schedule on the City's motion to dismiss and continuing the case management conference.

Dated: February 24, 2014

DENNIS J. HERRERA City Attorney **CHERYL ADAMS** Chief Trial Attorney BRADLEY A. RUSSI Deputy City Attorney

By: Is/ Bradley A. Russi BRADLEY A. RUSSI Attorneys for Defendant

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Dated: Fobruary 24, 2014 MELINDA HAAG UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

By:

JAMES A. SCHARF Assistant U.S. Attorney

Dated: February 1, 2014

JAMES E. JOHNSON

Plaintiff

Stip. & Proposed Order

CASE NO. CV 13-02405 EMC

n/Utvli2013\i31215\00906238.dnc

## [PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the above stipulation, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendant City and County of San Francisco may file a reply brief in support of its motion to dismiss the amended complaint on or before April 3, 2014. The Court further orders that the case management conference presently set for March 27, 2014 at 10:30 AM be continued to April 17, 2014 at 1:30 PM in Courtroom 5, 17th Floor, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102. A joint case management conference statement is due on or before April 10, 2014.

|        | 2/25/14 |  |
|--------|---------|--|
| Dated: |         |  |



## **PROOF OF SERVICE**

I, MONICA TREJO, declare as follows:

I am a citizen of the United States, over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the above-entitled action. I am employed at the City Attorney's Office of San Francisco, Fox Plaza Building, 1390 Market Street, Sixth Floor, San Francisco, CA 94102.

On February 25, 2014, I served the following document(s):

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING BRIEFING SCHEDULE ON MOTION TO DISMISS OF CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO AND CONTINUING CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE

on the following persons at the locations specified:

James Ellis Johnson 1819 Golden Gate Ave., #12 San Francisco, CA 94115

Tel: (415) 624-5011 Fax:(415) 563-1975

Email: jamesellisjohnson@yahoo.com

Pro Per

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in the manner indicated below:

BY UNITED STATES MAIL: Following ordinary business practices, I sealed true and correct copies of the above documents in addressed envelope(s) and placed them at my workplace for collection and mailing with the United States Postal Service. I am readily familiar with the practices of the San Francisco City Attorney's Office for collecting and processing mail. In the ordinary course of business, the sealed envelope(s) that I placed for collection would be deposited, postage prepaid, with the United States Postal Service that same day.

I declare under penalty of perjury pursuant to the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed February 25, 2014, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ Monica Trejo MONICA TREJO

26

27