

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TOUCHSCREEN GESTURES, LLC.,

No. C 13-2478 WHA

Plaintiff,

v.

GOOGLE, INC.,

**SECOND ORDER DENYING PRO
HAC VICE APPLICATION OF
ATTORNEY BORNSTEIN**

Defendant.

/

The *pro hac vice* application of Attorney Bornstein (Dkt. No. 49) is again **DENIED** for failing to comply with Local Rule 11-3. The local rule requires that an applicant certify that “he or she is an active member in good standing of the bar of a United States *Court* or of the *highest court* of another State or the District of Columbia, *specifying such bar*” (emphasis added). Filling out the *pro hac vice* form from the district court website such that it only identifies the *state* of bar membership — such as “the bar of New York” — is inadequate under the local rule because it fails to identify a *specific* court. While the application fees do not need to be paid again, the application cannot be processed until corrected forms are submitted.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 25, 2013.


WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE