1 2 3 4 5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 7 8 SHANNON L. EDMONDS, AD2315, 9 Petitioner, No. C 13-2490 CRB (PR) 10 ORDER VS. 11 FRED FOULK, Warden, (Docket #8) 12 Respondent. 13 Per order filed on June 13, 2013, petitioner's application for a writ of 14 15 habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 was construed as a protective petition and stayed so that petitioner can exhaust his unexhausted claims in the state courts. 16 17 Docket #5 at 2. The court instructed the clerk to administratively close the case 18 and made clear that "[n]othing further will take place in this matter until 19 petitioner exhausts all of his claims all the way thru the Supreme Court of 20 California and, within 30 days thereafter, moves to reopen the case and lift the court's stay." Id. 21 22 Petitioner has nonetheless filed a motion for appointment of counsel. The 23 motion (docket #8) is DENIED without prejudice to renewing after petitioner 24 exhausts all of his claims and moves to reopen the case and lift the court's stay. 25 SO ORDERED. 26 DATED: July 2, 2013 CHARLES R. BREYER 27 United States District Judge 28 G:\PRO-SE\CRB\HC.13\Edmonds, S.13-2490.or1.wpd