

1
2
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
5

6 JOHN SHAW aka JOHN HSIA,

No. C 13-2532 NC (PR)

7 Plaintiff,

ORDER OF SERVICE

8 v.

9 CHANG and MORALES,

10 Defendants.
11 _____/

12 **INTRODUCTION**

13 Plaintiff John Shaw aks John Hsia, an inmate at the California Substance Abuse
14 Treatment Facility and State Prison (CSATF), filed a pro se civil rights action under 42
15 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff is granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis in a separate order.
16 For the reasons stated below, the Court serves Plaintiff’s claim on Defendant Chang and
17 dismisses his claim against Defendant Morales.
18

19 **DISCUSSION**

20 **I. Standard of Review**

21 A federal court must engage in a preliminary screening of any case in which a
22 prisoner seeks redress from a governmental entity or officer or employee of a governmental
23 entity. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). In its review the court must identify any cognizable claims,
24 and dismiss any claims which are frivolous, malicious, fail to state a claim upon which relief
25 may be granted, or seek monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief.
26 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b)(1),(2). Pro se pleadings must be liberally construed. Balistreri v.
27 Pacifica Police Dep’t, 901 F.2d 696, 699 (9th Cir. 1990).

28 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(a)(2) requires only “a short and plain statement of
the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief.” “Specific facts are not necessary; the
statement need only ‘give the defendant fair notice of what the . . . claim is and the grounds

1 upon which it rests.” Erickson v. Pardus, 551 U.S. 89, 93 (2007) (citations omitted).
2 Although in order to state a claim a complaint “does not need detailed factual allegations, . . .
3 a plaintiff’s obligation to provide the ‘grounds’ of his ‘entitle[ment] to relief’ requires more
4 than labels and conclusions, and a formulaic recitation of the elements of a cause of action
5 will not do. . . . Factual allegations must be enough to raise a right to relief above the
6 speculative level.” Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly, 550 U.S. 544, 555 (2007) (citations
7 omitted). A complaint must proffer “enough facts to state a claim to relief that is plausible
8 on its face.” Id. at 570.

9 To state a claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, a plaintiff must allege two elements: (1) that
10 a right secured by the Constitution or laws of the United States was violated and (2) that the
11 violation was committed by a person acting under the color of state law. West v. Atkins, 487
12 U.S. 42, 48 (1988).

13 **II. Plaintiff’s Allegations**

14 In his complaint, Plaintiff alleges the following. Plaintiff formerly was housed at the
15 Santa Clara County jail. At 2:00 a.m. on February 17, 2009, while he was housed at the jail
16 and everyone was asleep, Correctional Officer Chang entered into Plaintiff’s cell and
17 confiscated Plaintiff’s legal papers. The lack of these papers impeded Plaintiff’s ability to
18 exhaust legal remedies for his federal petition for a writ of habeas corpus, case number C-09-
19 0077 CW. As a result, his petition was dismissed.

20 On April 24, 2013, when Plaintiff was housed at CSATF, Officer Morales confiscated
21 Plaintiff’s legal papers related to his new habeas petition, case number C-13-1739 CW. This
22 prevented Plaintiff from meeting exhaustion and filing deadlines in this case.

23 Based on these allegations, Plaintiff asserts that Defendants violated his rights under
24 the due process clause and the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA).

25 **III. Claims**

26 Although Plaintiff’s allegations do not constitute a violation of the Americans with
27 Disabilities Act, they may allege claims for a violation of his First Amendment and due
28 process rights of access to the courts.

1 complaint and all attachments thereto (docket no. 1) and a copy of this Order to Officer
2 Chang. The Clerk of the Court shall also mail a copy of the complaint and a copy of this
3 Order to the Office of the County Counsel in San Jose. Additionally, the Clerk shall mail a
4 copy of this Order to Plaintiff.

5 4. In order to expedite the resolution of this case, the Court orders as follows:

6 a. No later than ninety (90) days from the date of this order, Defendant
7 shall serve and file a motion for summary judgment or other dispositive motion. A motion
8 for summary judgment must be supported by adequate factual documentation and must
9 conform in all respects to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56, and must include as exhibits
10 all records and incident reports stemming from the events at issue. A motion for summary
11 judgment also must be accompanied by a Rand notice so that Plaintiff will have fair, timely
12 and adequate notice of what is required of him in order to oppose the motion. Woods v.
13 Carey, 684 F.3d 934, 935 (9th Cir. 2012) (notice requirement set out in Rand v. Rowland,
14 154 F.3d 952 (9th Cir. 1998), must be served concurrently with motion for summary
15 judgment). A motion to dismiss for failure to exhaust available administrative remedies must
16 be accompanied by a similar notice. Stratton v. Buck, 697 F.3d 1004, 1008 (9th Cir. 2012);
17 Woods, 684 F.3d at 935 (notice requirement set out in Wyatt v. Terhune, 315 F.3d 1108 (9th
18 Cir. 2003), must be served concurrently with motion to dismiss for failure to exhaust
19 available administrative remedies).

20 If Defendant is of the opinion that this case cannot be resolved by summary judgment
21 or other dispositive motion, he shall so inform the Court prior to the date his motion is due.
22 All papers filed with the Court shall be served promptly on Plaintiff.

23 b. Plaintiff must serve and file an opposition or statement of non-
24 opposition to the dispositive motion not more than twenty-eight (28) days after the motion is
25 served and filed.

26 c. Plaintiff is advised that a motion for summary judgment under Rule 56
27 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure will, if granted, end your case. Rule 56 tells you
28 what you must do in order to oppose a motion for summary judgment. Generally, summary

1 judgment must be granted when there is no genuine issue of material fact – that is, if there is
2 no real dispute about any fact that would affect the result of your case, the party who asked
3 for summary judgment is entitled to judgment as a matter of law, which will end your case.
4 When a party you are suing makes a motion for summary judgment that is properly
5 supported by declarations (or other sworn testimony), you cannot simply rely on what your
6 complaint says. Instead, you must set out specific facts in declarations, depositions, answers
7 to interrogatories, or authenticated documents, as provided in Rule 56(c), that contradict the
8 facts shown in Defendant's declarations and documents and show that there is a genuine issue
9 of material fact for trial. If you do not submit your own evidence in opposition, summary
10 judgment, if appropriate, may be entered against you. If summary judgment is granted, your
11 case will be dismissed and there will be no trial. Rand, 154 F.3d at 962-63 (App. A).

12 Plaintiff also is advised that a motion to dismiss for failure to exhaust available
13 administrative remedies under 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(a) will, if granted, end your case, albeit
14 without prejudice. You must “develop a record” and present it in your opposition in order to
15 dispute any "factual record" presented by Defendant in his motion to dismiss. Wyatt, 315
16 F.3d at 1120 n.14. You have the right to present any evidence to show that you did exhaust
17 your available administrative remedies before coming to federal court. Such evidence may
18 include: (1) declarations, which are statements signed under penalty of perjury by you or
19 others who have personal knowledge of relevant matters; (2) authenticated documents –
20 documents accompanied by a declaration showing where they came from and why they are
21 authentic, or other sworn papers such as answers to interrogatories or depositions;
22 (3) statements in your complaint insofar as they were made under penalty of perjury and they
23 show that you have personal knowledge of the matters stated therein. In considering a
24 motion to dismiss for failure to exhaust, the Court can decide disputed issues of fact with
25 regard to this portion of the case. Stratton, 697 F.3d at 1008-09.

26 (The Rand and Wyatt/Stratton notices above do not excuse Defendant’s obligation to
27 serve said notices again concurrently with motions to dismiss for failure to exhaust available
28 administrative remedies and motions for summary judgment. Woods, 684 F.3d at 935.)

1 d. Defendant must serve and file a reply to an opposition not more than
2 fourteen (14) days after the opposition is served and filed.

3 e. The motion shall be deemed submitted as of the date the reply is due.
4 No hearing will be held on the motion unless the Court so orders at a later date.

5 5. Discovery may be taken in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil
6 Procedure. No further court order under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(a)(2) or Local
7 Rule 16 is required before the parties may conduct discovery.

8 6. All communications by Plaintiff with the Court must be served on Defendant,
9 or Defendant's counsel once counsel has been designated, by mailing a true copy of the
10 document to Defendant or Defendant's counsel.

11 7. It is Plaintiff's responsibility to prosecute this case. Plaintiff must keep the
12 Court informed of any change of address by filing a separate paper with the Clerk headed
13 "Notice of Change of Address," and must comply with the Court's orders in a timely fashion.
14 Failure to do so may result in the dismissal of this action for failure to prosecute pursuant to
15 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

16
17 IT IS SO ORDERED.

18
19 DATED: July 22, 2013


NATHANAEL M. COUSINS
United States Magistrate Judge

20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27 G:\PRO-SE\NC\2013 CR\Shaw v. Chang 13-2532 Serve.wpd

28