## Case3:13-cv-02631-TEH Document50 Filed04/17/14 Page1 of 6

SEYFARTH SHAW LLP 1 Nick C. Geannacopulos (SBN 114822) 2 E-mail: ngeannacopulos@seyfarth.com Laura Maechtlen (SBN 224923) 3 E-mail: lmaechtlen@seyfarth.com Brian Wong (SBN 260913) E-mail: bwong@seyfarth.com 4 Courtney K. Bohl (SBN 278812) 5 E-mail: cbohl@seyfarth.com 560 Mission Street, 31st Floor San Francisco, California 94105 6 (415) 397-2823 Telephone: 7 Facsimile: (415) 397-8549 SEYFARTH SHAW LLP 8 Kristina M. Launey (SBN 221335) 9 klauney@seyfarth.com 400 Capitol Mall, Suite 2350 10 Sacramento, CA 95814-4428 (916) 448-0159 Telephone: Facsimile: (916) 558-4839 11 12 Attorneys for Defendants WINCO FOODS, LLC and WINCO HOLDINGS, INC. 13 LITTLER MENDELSON 14 Michael W. Warren, Esq (SBN 223642) E-mail: mwarren@littler.com 15 50 W. San Fernando Street, 15th Floor San Jose, California 95113 16 (408) 998-4510 Telephone: (408) 288-5686 17 Facsimile: 18 Attorneys for Defendants WINCO FOODS, LLC, WINCO HOLDINGS, INC., 19 WINCO FOUNDATION, INC., 20 JON M. ICHINAGA (#137290) **Chief Counsel** MARI MAYEDA (#110947) 21 Associate Chief Counsel Mari.Mayeda@dfeh.ca.gov 22 JULIA L. MONTGOMERY (#184083) Senior Staff Counsel 23 Julie.Montgomery@dfeh.ca.gov 24 ALEXANDRA SELDIN (#239708) Senior Staff Counsel 25 Alexandra.Seldin@dfeh.ca.gov KATHLEEN W. MIKKELSON (#56896) Senior Staff Counsel 26 Kathy.Mikkelson@dfeh.ca.gov 27 DEPARTMENT OF FAIR EMPLOYMENT AND HOUSING 28 2218 Kausen Drive, Suite 100

> STIPULATION REGARDING WITHDRAWAL OF MOTION TO INTERVENE; [PROPOSED] ORDER 17135783v.3

## 

1 2	Elk Grove, CA 95758 Telephone: (916) 478-7251 Facsimile: (888) 382-5293		
3	Attorneys for Phyllis W. Cheng, Director of		
	The Department of Fair Employment and Housing		
4			
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
6	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
7	PHYLLIS W. CHENG, in her official capacity as DIRECTOR OF THE CALIFORNIA	Case No. 3:14-cv-00483 JST	
8	DEPARTMENT OF FAIR EMPLOYMENT	STIPULATION REGARDING WITHDRAWAL OF MOTION TO	
9	AND HOUSING, an agency of the State of California, on behalf of Real Parties in Interest and all Similarly Situated Individuals,	INTERVENE; [ <del>PROPOSED</del> ] ORDER	
11	Plaintiff,		
12	v.		
13	WINCO FOODS, LLC; WINCO HOLDINGS, INC.,		
14	Defendants.		
15			
16	CRISTINA VERDUZCO, an Individual; and ANGELINA GONZALEZ-DIAZ, an		
17	Individual, and all other similarly situated individuals,		
18	Real Parties in Interest.		
19	MICTORIA CTOWERC ! !!! !!	Cara No. 2,12 av. 02621 TEH	
20	VICTORIA STOWERS, an individual	Case No. 3:13-cv-02631 TEH	
21	Plaintiff,		
22	VS.		
23	WINCO FOODS, LLC; WINCO HOLDINGS, INC.; WINCO FOUNDATION, INC.,		
24 25	Defendants.		
26	STIPHILATION REGARDING WITHDI	RAWAL OF MOTION TO INTERVENE	
27	STIPULATION REGARDING WITHDRAWAL OF MOTION TO INTERVENE  Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-12, Defendants WINCO FOODS, LLC and WINCO		
28	HOLDINGS, INC. (collectively "Defendants" or "WinCo") and Plaintiff PHYLLIS W. CHENG		
۷٥	2		
	2		

STIPULATION REGARDING WITHDRAWAL OF MOTION TO INTERVENE; [PROPOSED] ORDER

-.  in her official capacity as DIRECTOR OF THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FAIR EMPLOYMENT AND HOUSING ("DFEH") stipulate to the following:

- 1. On January 17, 2014, DFEH filed a Motion to Intervene in the case entitled *Stowers v. WinCo Foods*, *LLC*, *et al.*, Case No. 3:13-cv-02631-THE ("*Stowers*"), currently pending before the Northern District of California. On January 24, 2014, DFEH filed an amended Motion to Intervene. In support of its Motion, DFEH argues that it has a protectable interest in enforcing the statutes allegedly violated in *Stowers*, which is not adequately represented by the existing private party and may be impaired if intervention is denied.
- 2. On January 31, 2014, DFEH filed the lawsuit entitled, *Phyllis W. Cheng in her official capacity as Director of the California Department of Fair Employment and Housing, v. WinCo Foods, LLC and WinCo Holdings, LLC*, case no. CV-14-0483 JST ("Cheng").
- 3. On February 7, 2014, Defendants filed their opposition to DFEH's Motion to Intervene, arguing that the allegations of DFEH's Complaint-In-Intervention are different than those alleged in Stowers's Complaint, and DFEH's Complaint-In-Intervention would impermissibly expand the scope of the *Stowers* litigation.
- 4. On February 14, 2014, DFEH filed its Reply Brief in support of its Motion to Intervene.
- 5. On March 3, 2014, the Court held oral argument on DFEH's Motion to Intervene. The Court took DFEH's Motion under submission pending Stowers's filing of an amended complaint.
- 6. DFEH agrees to withdraw its pending Motion to Intervene in *Stowers* without prejudice in exchange for all of the following:
  - a. Defendants agree they will not, in the *Cheng* matter or in any other action brought by the DFEH involving the same fully-healed or leave policies the DFEH alleges are unlawful in *Cheng*, cite to, refer to or otherwise use against DFEH or the *Cheng* Real Parties in Interest any determinations made by the *Stowers* Court regarding those allegedly unlawful fully-healed or leave policies ("policy determinations"). However, Defendants may cite or refer to such policy

determinations in direct response to DFEH's or a Real Party in Interest's citation or reference to such policy determinations.

- b. Defendants agree they will not oppose DFEH filing amicus briefs in Stowers relating to any issues regarding the allegedly unlawful fully-healed or leave policies described in Paragraph 5.a.
- c. WinCo will send DFEH a courtesy copy of all notices of deposition in the Stowers matter and will not object to DFEH's attendance at those depositions. This obligation does not extend to any depositions during which there is no reasonable expectation that the allegedly unlawful fully-healed or leave policies described in Paragraph 5.a. will be a topic of examination. The Parties agree that if DFEH chooses to attend, a maximum of two DFEH attorneys may attend each deposition. DFEH's participation in any such depositions will be limited to attendance and observation only. DFEH may not ask questions of any witnesses during such depositions and will not interpose objections to questions asked during the deposition. The depositions will not count as depositions taken by DFEH in the Cheng matter.
- 7. DFEH and Defendants agree that in the event DFEH were to re-file its Motion to Intervene in Stowers, this stipulation would be rendered void.

DATED: April 17, 2014

Respectfully submitted,

SEYFARTH SHAW LLP

By: /s/ Kristina M. Launey Kristina M. Launey

Attorneys for Defendants

HOLDINGS, INC.

WINCO FOODS, LLC and WINCO

21

22

26

27

28

[Signatures continued next page ... ]

	Case3:13-cv-02631-TEH Document50 F	iled04/17/14 Page5 of 6		
1	DATED: April 17, 2014	LITTLER MENDELSON		
2	В	By: /s/ Michael W. Warren		
3		Michael W. Warren		
4	A	Attorneys for Defendants WINCO FOODS, LLC and WINCO		
5	Ĥ	IOLDINGS, INC.		
6	DATED: April 17, 2014	DEPARTMENT OF FAIR EMPLOYMENT		
7		AND HOUSING		
8				
9	E	By: /s/ Alexandra Seldin Alexandra Seldin		
10		Julia L. Montgomery		
11	A	Attorneys for Plaintiff PHYLLIS W. CHENG, DIRECTOR OF THE		
12		CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FAIR EMPLOYMENT AND HOUSING		
13		WIPLOTMENT AND HOUSING		
14				
15	SIGNATURE ATTESTATION			
16	Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I atto	Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I attest that concurrence in the filing of this		
17	document has been obtained from the other signatories.			
18	DATED A 1117 2014 Day	/a/ Variation M. I carron		
19	DATED: April 17, 2014 By:	<u>/s/ Kristina M. Launey</u> Kristina M. Launey		
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	5	5 STIPULATION REGARDING WITHDRAWAL OF MOTION TO INTERVENE; [PROPOSED] ORDER		

STIPULATION REGARDING WITHDRAWAL OF MOTION TO INTERVENE; [PROPOSED] ORDER 17135783v.3

## Case3:13-cv-02631-TEH Document50 Filed04/17/14 Page6 of 6

Pursuant to stipulation, IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: April 17, 2014 By: Judge United States District Court For the Northern District of California DATED: April 17, 2014 By: The Honorable Thelton E. Henderson Judge, United States District Court For the Northern District of California In case number 14-cv-00483, us part of is order shall be construed as limiting be authority of Judge Tizar to councile, rely upon, or cite any decision Trolpe Hendeson.