Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

AMERICAN SMALL BUSINESS LEAGUE,

Plaintiff,

v.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY,

Defendant.

Case No. 13-cv-02736-WHO

ORDER GRANTING STIPULATION R EXTENSION OF TIME FOR DEFENDANT TO RESPOND TO **COMPLAINT**

Re: Dkt. No. 4

Defendant United States Department of the Army ("Defendant") and Plaintiff American Small Business League ("Plaintiff"), by and through their respective counsel, stipulate to extend the time for Defendant to respond to Plaintiff's Complaint pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-1(a) of the Northern District of California as follows:

- On June 14, 2013, Plaintiff filed its Complaint for Injunctive Relief under 1. the Freedom of Information Act ("Complaint");
- 2. On July 1, 2013, the U.S. Attorney's Office was served with Plaintiff's Complaint by certified mail;
- 3. Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(C), Defendant's response to the Complaint is presently due to be filed and served on July 31, 2013;
- 4. The parties have agreed to a 30-day extension of time for Defendant to respond to the Complaint from July 31, 2012 to August 31, 2013;
 - 5. No prior extensions of time have been requested or granted; and
- 6. This change will not alter the date of any event or any deadline already fixed by Court order.

THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between Plaintiff and

27

28

| | 1 | Defendant that Defendant will have u | antil August 31, 2013, to respond to Plaintiff's Complaint. |
|--|----|--------------------------------------|--|
| | 2 | | |
| | 3 | DATED: July 18, 2013 | Respectfully submitted, |
| | 4 | | <u>/s/ Robert E. Belshaw</u> |
| | 5 | | ROBERT E. BELSHAW |
| | 6 | | Attorneys for Plaintiff |
| | 7 | | |
| | 8 | | MELINDA HAAG |
| | 9 | | United States Attorney |
| | 10 | | /s/ Rebecca Falk |
| | 11 | | REBECCA FALK ¹ |
| | 12 | | Assistant United States Attorney |
| | 13 | | Attorneys for Defendant |
| | 14 | | |
| | 15 | IT IS SO ORDERED. | 1.1.1100 |
| | 16 | Dated: July 18, 2013 | Wi N.Qe |
| | 17 | | |
| | 18 | | WILLIAM H. ORRICK United States District Judge |
| | 19 | | |
| | 20 | | |
| | 21 | | |
| | 22 | | |
| | 23 | | |
| | 24 | | |
| | 25 | | |
| | 26 | II Dohaga A Falls harehmattest in | accordance with the Civil I. P. 5(i)(3) the concurrence in the |
| | I | I Kenecca A Halk nereny attact in | ACCOMPANCE WITH THE LAWILL R STATES THE CONCURRENCE IN the |

¹I, Rebecca A. Falk, hereby attest, in accordance with the Civil L.R. 5(i)(3), the concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from the other signatory listed here.