1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3	
4	FAREED SEPEHRY-FARD,Case No. <u>13-cv-02784-JSW</u> (MEJ)
5	Plaintiff, ORDER RE: DISCOVERY MEET AND
6	v. CONFER PROCEDURE
7	MB FINANCIAL SERVICES,
8	Defendant.
9	
10	The Court is in receipt of Plaintiff's request, filed January 21, 2014, regarding the in-person
11	meet and confer requirement for discovery disputes. Dkt. No. 61. Good cause appearing, the
12	Court hereby GRANTS the request to meet and confer by video conference and/or telephone. The
13	meet and confer must be completed by February 7, 2014. To ensure compliance with the spirit of
14	the meet and confer requirement, the parties shall make a contemporaneous record of their
15	meetings using a court reporter or electronic recording device.
16	If the parties are unable to resolve any disputes at the meet and confer session, the
17	requesting party shall provide the opposing party with a draft joint letter in compliance with the
18	undersigned's Discovery Standing Order. Within seven (7) calendar days of receipt of the draft
19	letter, the opposing party shall provide its portion of the letter. If the opposing party fails to timely

Northern District of California United States District Court

> 21 Order.

20

24

26

27

The parties should be mindful that the Court shall reinstate the in-person meeting 22 23 requirement if it determines that the telephone meetings are not fruitful.

respond, the requesting party shall file notice pursuant to Paragraph 3 of the Discovery Standing

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 23, 2014 25

MARIA-ELENA JAMES United States Magistrate Judge

28