

1 John D. Green (State Bar No. 121498)  
 jgreen@fbm.com  
 2 Thomas B. Mayhew (State Bar No. 183539)  
 tmayhew@fbm.com  
 3 Eric C. Tausend (State Bar No. 273024)  
 etausend@fbm.com  
 4 Farella Braun + Martel LLP  
 235 Montgomery Street, 17th Floor  
 5 San Francisco, CA 94104  
 Telephone: (415) 954-4400  
 6 Facsimile: (415) 954-4480

7 Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterdefendant  
 PEDRO ARROYO

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 9  
 10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11 PEDRO ARROYO, an individual,

12 Plaintiff,

13 vs.

14 UNIGARD INSURANCE COMPANY, a  
 15 Washington Corporation,

16 Defendant.

17 UNIGARD INSURANCE COMPANY, a  
 18 Wisconsin Corporation,

19 Counterclaimant,

20 vs.

21 PEDRO ARROYO, an individual,

22 Counterdefendant.

Case No. 3:13-cv-02810-JSW

**STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~  
 ORDER TO EXCEED PAGE  
 LIMITATIONS**

Date: May 9, 2014  
 Time: 9:00 a.m.  
 Courtroom: 11  
 Judge: Hon. Jeffrey S. White

1 WHEREAS, after a September 27, 2013 Case Management Conference, the Court set a  
2 schedule for the parties to file their respective cross-motions for summary judgment or partial  
3 summary judgment;

4 WHEREAS, pursuant to the Court's order, on December 6, 2013 Plaintiff Pedro Arroyo  
5 ("Arroyo") filed his opening motion;

6 WHEREAS, with Arroyo's consent, Unigard Insurance Company ("Unigard") sought  
7 leave to file a 30-page brief combining its opposition to Arroyo's motion and its opening motion.  
8 The Court granted leave for Unigard to file a combined 30-page brief, and on January 31, 2014  
9 Unigard filed its combined opposition to Arroyo's motion and its cross-motion, which was  
10 30 pages;

11 WHEREAS, Arroyo's combined reply in support of his motion and opposition to  
12 Unigard's cross-motion is due on March 7, 2014. The Court ordered that Arroyo file one brief  
13 combining both its reply and its opposition;

14 WHEREAS, pursuant to Civil L.R. 7-11, Arroyo submits that good cause exists to allow  
15 his combined reply brief and opposition brief to exceed the 25-page limit because (1) 25 pages is  
16 otherwise insufficient because of the complexity of the case and the importance of the issues  
17 within the case; and (2) the parties agreed to a 30-page limit for Unigard's combined opposition  
18 and opening brief, and at the same time, for the sake of reciprocity, agreed to a 30-page limit for  
19 Arroyo's combined reply and opposition brief;

20 NOW THEREFORE, the parties hereby stipulate, and respectfully request that the Court  
21 so order, that Arroyo may file a 30-page combined brief, which includes his reply in support of  
22 his motion for partial summary judgment as well as his opposition to Unigard's cross-motion for  
23 summary judgment, or in the alternative, partial summary judgment.

24 Dated: February 24, 2014

FARELLA BRAUN + MARTEL LLP

25 By: /s/ John D. Green

26 John D. Green

27 Attorneys for Plaintiff and  
28 Counterdefendant  
PEDRO ARROYO

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Dated: February 24, 2014

BRYDON HUGO & PARKER

By: /s/


John R. Brydon  
Jeffrey Kaufman  
Thomas J. Moses

Attorneys for Defendant and  
Counterclaimant  
UNIGARD INSURANCE COMPANY

**ORDER**

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED that Plaintiff Pedro Arroyo may file a 30-page combined brief which includes his reply in support of his motion for partial summary judgment as well as his opposition to Unigard Insurance Company’s cross-motion for summary judgment, or in the alternative, partial summary judgment

DATED: February 25, 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jeffrey S. White  
United States District Judge