

Winston & Strawn LLP
101 California Street
San Francisco, CA 94111-5802

1 James F. Hurst (admitted *pro hac vice*)
jhurst@winston.com
 2 Maureen L. Rurka (admitted *pro hac vice*)
mrurka@winston.com
 3 James M. Hilmert (admitted *pro hac vice*)
jhilmert@winston.com
 4 WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
 35 West Wacker Drive
 5 Chicago, IL 60601-9703
 Telephone: (312) 558-5600
 6 Facsimile: (312) 558-5700

7 K. Joon Oh (SBN: 246142)
koh@winston.com
 8 WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
 101 California Street
 9 San Francisco, CA 94111-5802
 Telephone: (415) 591-1000
 10 Facsimile: (415) 591-1400

11 Attorneys for Plaintiff
12 SANDOZ INC.

13 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
14 **NORTHERN DISTRICT**

15 SANDOZ INC.,)
)
 16 Plaintiff,)
)
 17 v.)
)
 18 AMGEN INC. and HOFFMAN-LA ROCHE)
 INC.,)
 19)
 20 Defendants.)

Case No. 3:13-CV-02904-MMC

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
 ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE
 SURREPLY TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION
 TO DISMISS ; CONTINUING HEARING ON
 DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS**

21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28


1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Having considered Plaintiff's Administrative Motion to File a Surreply in Opposition to and defendants' opposition thereto, Defendants' Motion to Dismiss, the Court finds that good cause exists for Plaintiff to file a surreply in opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss, of 10 pages or less.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT Plaintiff Sandoz Inc.'s Administrative Motion to File a Surreply in Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss, is GRANTED, and Plaintiff may file a surreply brief of 10 pages or less, within 7 days of the date of this order.

~~IT IS SO ORDERED~~ FURTHER ORDERED that the hearing on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss is CONTINUED to November 1, 2013, at 9:00 a.m.

DATED: October 7, 2013


Honorable Maxine M. Chesney
United States District Judge

SF:361472.1