

1 (8/2013)

2

3

4 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

5 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

6

7

8 Plaintiff(s),

9 vs.

10 Defendant(s).

11

12

)

)

)

)

)

)

NO. C-

SI

CASE MANAGEMENT
CONFERENCE ORDER

13 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, pursuant to Rule 16(b), Federal Rules of

14 Civil Procedure, and Civil L.R. 16-10, a Case Management Conference will be held

15 in this case before the Honorable Susan Illston on _____ at 2:30

16 p.m. in Courtroom No. 10, 19th floor Federal Building.

17 Plaintiff(s) shall serve copies of this Order at once on all parties to this action,

18 and on any parties subsequently joined, in accordance with the provisions of

19 Fed.R.Civ.P. 4 and 5. Following service, plaintiff(s) shall file certificate of service

20 with the Clerk of this Court.

21 Counsel are directed to confer in advance of the Case Management

22 Conference. Not less than seven days before the conference, counsel shall file a joint

23 case management statement in compliance with the Civil Local Rules and the

24 Standing Order for All Judges of the Northern District of California. Failure to file

25 a joint statement shall be accompanied by a signed declaration setting forth the

26 grounds for such failure. Failure to show good cause for such failure may subject

27 the parties to sanctions.

28

Each party shall be represented at the Case Management Conference by counsel prepared to address all of the matters referred to in this Order, and with authority to enter stipulations and make admissions pursuant to this Order. The parties are encouraged to attend.

Any request to reschedule the above dates should be made in writing, and by stipulation, if possible, not less than ten days before the conference date. Good cause must be shown.

At the case management conference the parties should be prepared to address and resolve the following: setting the date and the estimated length of the trial; setting the date for discovery cutoff; setting the date to designate experts and other witnesses; and setting the date for the pretrial conference.

Standing Orders: All parties shall comply with the Standing Order for All Judges of the Northern District of California concerning the contents of the joint case management conference statement and Judge Illston's Standing Order.

Failure to comply with this Order or the Local Rules of this Court may result in sanctions. See Fed.R.Civ.P. 16(f), Civil L.R. 1-4.

NOTICE TO PRO SE LITIGANTS IN NON-PRISONER ACTIONS:

If you are proceeding in this lawsuit without an attorney, and have not been granted leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*, then the following directives apply to you in the prosecution of your case.

The court hereby ORDERS you to comply with the service requirements of Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure as set forth below. Failure to follow the procedures set forth in this order may result, under Rule 4 (m), in dismissal of your case.

It is your responsibility to obtain a valid summons from the clerk and to effect service of the summons and complaint on all defendants in accordance with

Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. If you have named the United States government, a federal agency, a federal official or a federal employee as a defendant, you must comply with the special requirements of Rule 4 (i).

Service may be effected by any person who is not a party and who is at least 18 years of age, which means that you, as a party, may not effect service. If service of the summons and complaint is not made upon a defendant within 120 days after the filing of the complaint, your action will, under Rule 4 (m), be dismissed as to that defendant.

Within 125 days after the filing of the complaint, you must file proof of service indicating which defendants were served within the 120 days allowed under Rule 4 (m) and showing, in accordance with Rule 4 (i), how each of those defendants was served (for example, by attaching appropriate certificates of service). You must also show cause why a defendant not served within the 120 days allowed under Rule 4 (m) should not be dismissed without prejudice.

Failure to do these things within the designated time will result in the dismissal of your case under Rule 4 (m) and Rule 41 (b).

For additional information on procedures for litigating in Federal Court, pro se litigants are encouraged to contact the Court's Legal Help Center 415-782-8982 or sign up for an appointment with the Center at 450 Golden Gate Avenue, 15th Floor, Room 2796, San Francisco, CA 94102.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 6, 2013


SUSAN ILLSTON
United States District Judge

STANDING ORDER FOR ALL JUDGES
OF THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CONTENTS OF JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT
STATEMENT

Commencing July 1, 2011, all judges of the Northern District of California will require identical information in Joint Case Management Statements filed pursuant to Civil Local Rule 16-9. The parties must include the following information in their statement which, except in unusually complex cases, should not exceed ten pages:

1. Jurisdiction and Service: The basis for the court's subject matter jurisdiction over plaintiff's claims and defendant's counterclaims, whether any issues exist regarding personal jurisdiction or venue, whether any parties remain to be served, and, if any parties remain to be served, a proposed deadline for service.
2. Facts: A brief chronology of the facts and a statement of the principal factual issues in dispute.
3. Legal Issues: A brief statement, without extended legal argument, of the disputed points of law, including reference to specific statutes and decisions.
4. Motions: All prior and pending motions, their current status, and any anticipated motions.
5. Amendment of Pleadings: The extent to which parties, claims, or defenses are expected to be added or dismissed and a proposed deadline for amending the pleadings.
6. Evidence Preservation: A brief report certifying that the parties have reviewed the Guidelines Relating to the Discovery of Electronically Stored Information ("ESI Guidelines"), and confirming that the parties have met and conferred pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) regarding reasonable and proportionate steps taken to preserve evidence relevant to the issues reasonably evident in this action. *See* ESI Guidelines 2.01 and 2.02, and Checklist for ESI Meet and Confer.
7. Disclosures: Whether there has been full and timely compliance with the initial disclosure requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26, and a description of the disclosures made.
8. Discovery: Discovery taken to date, if any, the scope of anticipated discovery, any proposed limitations or modifications of the discovery rules, a brief report on whether the parties have considered entering into a stipulated e-discovery order, a proposed discovery plan pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f), and any identified discovery disputes.
9. Class Actions: If a class action, a proposal for how and when the class will be certified.

10. Related Cases: Any related cases or proceedings pending before another judge of this court, or before another court or administrative body.
11. Relief: All relief sought through complaint or counterclaim, including the amount of any damages sought and a description of the bases on which damages are calculated. In addition, any party from whom damages are sought must describe the bases on which it contends damages should be calculated if liability is established.
12. Settlement and ADR: Prospects for settlement, ADR efforts to date, and a specific ADR plan for the case, including compliance with ADR L.R. 3-5 and a description of key discovery or motions necessary to position the parties to negotiate a resolution.
13. Consent to Magistrate Judge For All Purposes: Whether **all** parties will consent to have a magistrate judge conduct all further proceedings including trial and entry of judgment. ___ Yes ___ No
14. Other References: Whether the case is suitable for reference to binding arbitration, a special master, or the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation.
15. Narrowing of Issues: Issues that can be narrowed by agreement or by motion, suggestions to expedite the presentation of evidence at trial (e.g., through summaries or stipulated facts), and any request to bifurcate issues, claims, or defenses.
16. Expedited Trial Procedure: Whether this is the type of case that can be handled under the Expedited Trial Procedure of General Order No. 64 Attachment A. If all parties agree, they shall instead of this Statement, file an executed Agreement for Expedited Trial and a Joint Expedited Case Management Statement, in accordance with General Order No. 64 Attachments B and D.
17. Scheduling: Proposed dates for designation of experts, discovery cutoff, hearing of dispositive motions, pretrial conference and trial.
18. Trial: Whether the case will be tried to a jury or to the court and the expected length of the trial.
19. Disclosure of Non-party Interested Entities or Persons: Whether each party has filed the "Certification of Interested Entities or Persons" required by Civil Local Rule 3-16. In addition, each party must restate in the case management statement the contents of its certification by identifying any persons, firms, partnerships, corporations (including parent corporations) or other entities known by the party to have either: (i) a financial interest in the subject matter in controversy or in a party to the proceeding; or (ii) any other kind of interest that could be substantially affected by the outcome of the proceeding.
20. Such other matters as may facilitate the just, speedy and inexpensive disposition of this matter.

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28