For the Northern District of California

27

28

Dated: June 4, 2014

2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	SYNOSYS, INC.,	No. C-13-02965-MMC (DMR)
12	Plaintiff(s),	ORDER DENYING EX PARTE
13	v.	DISCOVERY LETTER [DOCKET NO. 114] WITHOUT PREJUDICE
14	ATOPTECH, INC.,	
15	Defendant(s).	1
16		
17	The court is in receipt of Plaintiff's ex parte discovery letter. [Docket No. 114.] As Plaintiff	
18	recognizes in its letter, Judge Chesney has disqualified counsel for Defendant and has set a deadline	
19	of June 16, 2014 for new counsel to appear on Defendant's behalf. See Docket Nos. 91, 112. New	
20	counsel has not yet appeared. The case management deadlines for this case have not yet been set.	
21	In light of the above, Plaintiff's ex parte discovery letter is premature and is denied without	
22	prejudice . Once Defendant's new counsel has appeared, the parties shall meet and confer	
23	regarding the discovery disputes raised in the letter, following this court's Order Regarding	
24	Discovery Procedures. See Docket No. 100. If the parties are unable to resolve the matters without	
25	judicial intervention, they shall file a joint discovery letter by June 30, 2014.	
26	IT IS SO ORDERED.	Tonasso —

DONNA M. RYU United States Magistrate Judge