

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SYNOSYS, INC.,
Plaintiff(s),
v.
ATOPTECH, INC.,
Defendant(s).

No. C-13-02965 DMR


**ORDER FOR SUPPLEMENTAL
SUBMISSION RE: JOINT DISCOVERY
LETTER [DOCKET NO. 193]**

Before the court is a joint discovery letter filed by the parties. [Docket No. 193.] In the letter, Synopsys moves to compel ATopTech to respond to Synopsys’ discovery requests, some of which reference “Accused Instrumentalities.” This term is not defined in the joint discovery letter.

By **February 11, 2015 at 12:00 p.m.**, the parties shall meet and confer and file with the court a letter of no more than one page providing Synopsys’ definition of “Accused Instrumentalities” as used in Synopsys’ discovery requests.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 10, 2015



DONNA M. RYU
United States Magistrate Judge