Synopsys, Inc. v. Atoptech, Inc.

Doc. 742

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28

6); and

1

By Administrative Motion pursuant to Northern District of California Civil Local Rules 7-9
and 9-11, Defendant ATopTech, Inc. ("ATopTech") has moved to for leave of this Court to file a
motion for partial reconsideration of this Court's May 16, 2016 Order Granting in Part and Denying
in Part Plaintiff's Motion to File Under Seal (1) Portions of Its Motion for Permanent Injunction and
(2) Certain Supporting Declarations and Schedules ("Order") (ECF No. 740). The Court construes ATopTech's Motion for Leave as its Motion for Reconsideration. ATopTech indicates it understood no response was due to Synopsys' multiple motions filed which included the subject motion to seal, on April 7, 2016 (ECF Nos. 723, 727, 728 and 729), because the Court had issued an Order denying the substantive as premature these, motions on April 11, 2016, (ECF No. 732). Upon reviewing the Order, ATopTech promptly
prepared the Declaration of Henry Chang ("Chang Declaration"), filed in support of its motion,
which sets forth grounds for sealing certain specifically identified materials filed in connection with
Synopsys' Motion for Permanent Injunction, in compliance with Local Rule 79-5(d) and (e).
Accordingly, for good cause shown, the Court GRANTS ATopTech's Administrative
Motion for Leave to File Motion for Reconsideration of Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part
Motion to File Under Seal [ECF No. 740]. The Court hereby modifies its prior Order to provide
that the following materials shall be sealed: 13, 14, and 15 Paragraphs 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, and 12, of the Declaration of David Blaauw (ECF No. 724);
Exhibits 2, 4 and 5 to the Declaration of David Blaauw (ECF Nos. 724-2, 724-4 and 724-5); 10 - 15, 18, 19 Paragraphs 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, and 20 of the Declaration of James Storer (ECF No. 725);
Exhibits 2, 4 and 5 to the Declaration of James Storer (ECF Nos. 725-2, 725-4 and 725-5);

Exhibits 4, 5 and 6 to the Declaration of Patrick Michael (ECF Nos. 726-4, 726-5 and 726-

The following passages in Synopsys' Motion for Permanent Injunction, which references the foregoing materials, specifically: p. 13, lines 14-23 and 26-29; p. 14, lines 9-15.

To the extent the Order directs Synopsys to file certain documents in the public record, the Order is hereby VACATED, and Synopsys is hereby DIRECTED to file in the public record, no later than May 27, 2016, versions of the Motion for Permanent Injunction, Blaauw declaration, and Storer declaration, in which, in addition to Synopsys's confidential material,

1	identified in its motion to seal (Dkt. No. 727), ATopTech's confidential material, as
2	identified herein, likewise is redacted.
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.
4	Dated: May 20 , 2016 Mafine M. Chesney
5	Hon. Maxine M. Chesney United States District Judge
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21 22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	- 3 - [Proposed] order granting atoptech's admin