1	Robert A. Mittelstaedt (SBN 60359)	Paul Alexander (#49997)	
2	ramittelstaedt@JonesDay.com Patrick T. Michael (SBN 169745)	Paul.Alexander@aporter.com Martin R. Glick (#40187)	
3	pmichael@jonesday.com Krista S. Schwartz (SBN 303604)	Marty.Glick@aporter.com Sean M. Callagy (#255230)	
4	ksschwartz@JonesDay.com David C. Kiernan (SBN 215335)	Sean.Callagy@aporter.com ARNOLD & PORTER LLP	
5	dkiernan@jonesday.com Nathaniel P. Garrett (SBN 248211)	Three Embarcadero Center, 7 th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-4024	
-	ngarrett@JonesDay.com	Telephone: (415) 471-3100	
6	Joe C. Liu (SBN 237356) jcliu@JonesDay.com	Facsimile: (415) 471-3400	
7	JONES DAY 555 California Street, 26th Floor	Denise McKenzie (#193313) Denise.McKenzie@aporter.com	
8	San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: +1.415.626.3939	ARNOLD & PORTER LLP 777 South Figueroa Street	
9	Facsimile: +1.415.875.5700	Forty-Fourth Floor	
10	Heather N. Fugitt (SBN 261588) hfugitt@JonesDay.com	Los Angeles, CA 90017-5844 Telephone: (213) 243-4000 Facsimile: (213) 243-4199	
11	JONES DAY Silicon Valley Office	Attorneys for Defendant	
12	1755 Embarcadero Road	ATOPTECH, INC.	
13	Palo Alto, CA 94303 Telephone: +1.650.739.3939		
14	Facsimile: +1.650.739.3900		
15	Attorneys for Plaintiff SYNOPSYS, INC.		
16			
17	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
18	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
19	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
20			
21	SYNOPSYS, INC.,	Case No. 3:13-cv-02965-MMC (DMR)	
22	Plaintiff,	REVISED JOINT (PROPOSED)	
23	V.	ORDER REGARDING SCHEDULE FOR ATOPTECH'S FOURTH AMENDED COUNTERCLAIMS	
24	ATOPTECH, INC.,	AMENDED COUNTERCLAIMS	
25	Defendant.		
26			
27			
28			
		Revised Joint (Proposed) Order Case No. 3:13-cv-02965-MMC (DMR)	

WHEREAS, Synopsys filed its Amended Complaint on November 25, 2013, asserting claims for copyright infringement (Count I), breach of contract and breach of covenant of good faith and fair dealing (Counts XI-XII), and patent infringement (Counts II-V) (ECF No. 43)¹;

WHEREAS, on March 13, 2015, ATopTech filed its First Amended Answer and Counterclaims, which asserted a copyright misuse counterclaim and several antitrust claims including a claim under Section 7 of the Clayton Act challenging two acquisitions and claims under Section 1 and 2 of the Sherman Act for tying and monopolization (ECF No. 252);

WHEREAS, on April 9, 2015, the Court bifurcated Synopsys's patent claims from its copyright and breach of contract claims, but deferred ruling on whether to bifurcate ATopTech's copyright misuse and antitrust counterclaims pending resolution of Synopsys' motion to dismiss (ECF No. 280);

WHEREAS, on April 27, 2015, the Court entered an Order setting deadlines and discovery limits on "Synopsys' patent claims (Counts II–V)" (ECF No. 291), which provides that fact discovery closes on July 15, 2016, expert discovery closes on October 15, 2016, dispositive motions shall be filed by December 15, 2016, and trial shall commence on February 27, 2017²;

WHEREAS, by Orders issued on May 8, 2015, August 7, 2015, and November 18, 2015 (ECF Nos. 294, 342, 455), the Court dismissed ATopTech's copyright misuse defense claim and certain of its antitrust counterclaims and denied Synopsys' motion to dismiss regarding Count III, Count VI, and Count VIII to the extent they challenge certain license restrictions;

WHEREAS, on November 25, 2015, ATopTech filed its Fourth Amended Answer and Counterclaims, which asserts the remaining Antitrust Counterclaims that were not dismissed by the Court (ECF No. 471) (the "Antitrust Counterclaims");

WHEREAS, on December 15, 2015, Synopsys filed its Amended Answer to the Counterclaim (ECF No. 486);

¹ Synopsys' Amended Complaint was supplemented on January 26, 2016 to include supplemental copyright registration certificate numbers. *See* ECF No. 523; *see also* ECF No. 596 (order denying motion to dismiss Supplemental Complaint).

² On July 30, 2015, the Clerk issued a Notice confirming the deadlines for the Copyright and Breach of Contract claims and the Patent Claims (ECF. 333).

1	WHEREAS, on November 19, 2015, the Court bifurcated the Antitrust Counterclaims	
2	from the copyright and breach of contract claims (ECF No. 456), and the parties agreed to meet	
3	and confer regarding a proposed schedule for the Antitrust Counterclaims (ECF No. 465, p. 60-	
4	61);	
5	WHEREAS, a jury trial on Synopsys' copyright and breach of contract claims (Counts I,	
6	XI-XII) concluded on March 10, 2016;	
7	WHEREAS, a bench trial is set for July 25, 2016 on ATopTech's equitable estoppel	
8	affirmative defense;	
9	WHEREAS, the parties have conducted minimal discovery related to the Antitrust	
10	Counterclaims to date and no Case Management Conference has been held or set;	
11	WHEREAS, the parties agree that the Antitrust Counterclaims should be bifurcated from	
12	the patent claims (Counts II-V) because the claims involve separate, complex bodies of law and	
13	involve distinct factual and legal issues;	
14	WHEREAS, the parties agree that discovery on the Antitrust Counterclaims should be	
15	deferred until thirty days after the entry of a verdict at the trial on the patent claims, in light of the	
16	time and cost associated with the equitable estoppel bench trial and the ongoing patent discovery	
17	and ultimate trial on the patent claims; and	
18	WHEREAS, the parties agree that the period of time required to complete proceedings on	
19	the Antitrust Counterclaims shall not, in and of itself, constitute a basis for entry of partial	
20	judgment under Rule 54(b) as to any other claims.	
21	NOW, THEREFORE the parties jointly submit the following proposed deadlines relating	
22	to ATopTech's Fourth Amended Counterclaims:	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

Proposed Schedule for ATopTech's Fourth Amended Counterclaims:

DEADLINE	EVENT
30 days after entry of a verdict at the trial on Synopsys' Patent Claims	Discovery commences. Any discovery previously served will be deemed served as of this date
30 days after commencement of Fact Discovery	Updates to Rule 26 Initial Disclosures
Six months after commencement of Fact Discovery	Close of Fact Discovery, including third-party discovery
30 days after conclusion of Fact Discovery	ATopTech's Opening Expert Report(s)
30 days after service of ATopTech's Opening Expert Report	Synopsys's Expert Report(s)
21 days after service of Synopsys's Rebuttal Report	ATopTech Rebuttal Expert Reports
21 days after service of ATopTech's Rebuttal Expert Reports	Close of Expert Discovery
30 days after Close of Expert Discovery	Motion for Summary Judgment
3 weeks after filing Motions for Summary Judgment	Opp. to Motion for Summary Judgment
2 weeks after filing Oppositions	Reply in support of Motion for Summary Judgment
TBD	Hearing on Motion for Summary Judgment
TBD	Pre-Trial Conference
TBD	Trial

1	Dated: June 23, 2016	Respectfully submitted,
2	J	JONES DAY
3	I	By: /s/ David C. Kiernan David C. Kiernan
4		
5	S	Attorneys for Plaintiff SYNOPSYS, INC.
6	In accordance with Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), t	he above signatory attests that concurrence in
7	the filing of this document has been obtained from the signatory below.	
8	-	ARNOLD & PORTER
9		By: _/s/ Paul Alexander
10		Paul Alexander
11		Attorneys for Defendant ATOPTECH, INC.
12		Trof reell, live.
13	The above joint proposed deadlines relating to ATopTech's Fourth Amended	
14	Counterclaims is approved and all parties shall comply with its provisions.	
15	IT SO ORDERED.	
16		1
17	Dated: _ June 24, 2016	By: Mafine M. Chelmy
18		Hon. Maxine Chesney United States District Judge
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		D ' 11' (/D 1) O 1