United States District Court Northern District of California

1			
2	2		
3	3		
4	4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
6 7 8 9 10 11	JEAN MACDONALD, et al., Case No Plaintiffs, ORDER v. PROTE FORD MOTOR COMPANY, Re: ECF Defendant. Case No		
12	The parties to this case have submitted a stipulated request for a protective order, and a		
13	proposed protective order for the Court's approval. ECF No	proposed protective order for the Court's approval. ECF No. 61.	
14	Because the proposed protective order differs from the Northern District of California's		
15	5 model protective order in material respects, see Stipulated P	model protective order in material respects, see Stipulated Protective Order for Standard	
16	Litigation, available at http://www.cand.uscourts.gov/model-protective-orders, the Court hereby		
17	denies the stipulation without prejudice to the refiling of a revised protective order.		
18	In any re-submitted order, the parties should either include the following paragraphs from		
19	the Court's model order, or explain why the language should be omitted: $\P\P 1, 2, 3, 4, 5.1, 5.2(a)$,		
20	6, 9(b).		
21	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
22	Dated: February 27, 2015	Dated: February 27, 2015	
23	0 1		
24	JON S. TIGAR		
25	United States District Judge		
26			
27			
28			

Dockets.Justia.com