NORTHERN	DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
JENNIFER WHALEN, et al.,	Case No. <u>13-cv-030</u>
Plaintiffs, v.	DISCOVERY ORD

FORD MOTOR COMPANY, Defendant.

Case No. 13-cv-03072-EMC (MEJ)

DISCOVERY ORDER Re: Dkt. No. 122

Before the Court is the parties' joint discovery letter brief regarding Ford Motor Company's request to inspect Plaintiffs' personal electronic devices, including smartphones and media players. Upon review of the parties' positions, the Court issues the following Order. Plaintiffs do not need to provide their personal electronic devices to Ford for inspection at this time. However, if any named plaintiff disputes Ford's findings from an inspection on the grounds that Ford used a phone other than the specific one used by the named plaintiff, that plaintiff must then submit their personal device for inspection, subject to an appropriate protective order regarding the scope and conditions of inspection. Accordingly, the parties shall meet and confer to determine the deadline for Ford to submit its inspection findings to Plaintiffs in time for any individual to dispute the findings and thereafter produce their personal device(s) for inspection prior to the discovery cut-off.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

22 The parties are reminded that for any future discovery disputes, they must meet and confer 23 in person as required by the undersigned's Discovery Standing Order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 12, 2014 26

MARIA-ELENA JAMES

United States Magistrate Judge

Northern District of California United States District Court

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

27

28