UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL on MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE: AVANDIA MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 1871

TRANSFER ORDER

Before the Panel: Pursuant to Panel Rule 7.1, plaintiffs in the 57 actions listed on Schedule A, 52 pending in the Northern District of California, four pending in the Southern District of Illinois, and one pending in the Central District of California, move to vacate our orders conditionally transferring the actions to the Eastern District of Pennsylvania for inclusion in MDL No. 1871. Responding defendant GlaxoSmithKline LLC (GSK) opposes the motions.

In their motions to vacate, plaintiffs principally cite that they have moved for remand to state court, and that those motions remain pending. As we frequently have held, however, the pendency of a remand motion is not, as a general matter, a sufficient reason to delay or deny transfer. Under Panel Rule 2.1(d), the pendency of a conditional transfer order does not limit the pretrial jurisdiction of the court in which the subject action is pending. Between the date a remand motion is filed and the date the Panel finalizes transfer of the action to the MDL, a court wishing to rule upon that motion generally has adequate time to do so.

The California plaintiffs argue that the situation with respect to their remand motions is sufficiently different that vacatur is warranted. In all the California actions, plaintiffs have sued not only GSK but also McKesson Corporation (McKesson), which has its principal place of business in California. In removing those actions, GSK has contended, *inter alia*, that McKesson is fraudulently joined as a defendant. Plaintiffs point out that the transferee judge, the Honorable Cynthia M. Rufe previously has remanded other actions in the MDL to California state court, finding that McKesson was <u>not</u> fraudulently joined.¹ Plaintiffs thus argue that remand of their actions is a foregone conclusion, and that transfer would result only in delay. We find this argument unpersuasive, as we do not have the authority to determine the applicability of a transferee judge's ruling in one case to other arguably similar cases.²

A TRUE COPY CERTIFIED TO FROM THE RECORD

TEST Dombe

DEPUTY CLERK, UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Judge Marjorie O. Rendell and Judge Sarah S. Vance took no part in the decision of this matter.

See In re Avandia Mktg., Sales Practices & Prods. Liab. Litig., 624 F. Supp. 2d 396, 417-21 (E.D. Pa. 2009).

See In re Ivy, 901 F.2d 7, 9 (2d Cir. 1990) ("Section 1407 does not empower the MDL Panel to decide . . . issues relating to a motion to remand"). We note that the California actions before us (continued...)

Plaintiffs also argue that they would be inconvenienced by transfer, as at least some of them are residents of either California or Illinois. As we have explained, however, in deciding issues of transfer under Section 1407, we look to the overall convenience of the parties and witnesses, not just those of the parties to a given case or cases. See, e.g., In re Watson Fentanyl Patch Prods. Liab. Litig., 883 F. Supp. 2d 1350, 1351-52 (J.P.M.L. 2012). Furthermore, because Section 1407 transfer is for pretrial proceedings only, there is usually no need for the parties and witnesses to travel to the transferee district for depositions or otherwise. See In re MLR, LLC, Patent Litig., 269 F. Supp. 2d 1380, 1381 (J.P.M.L. 2003).

After considering all argument of counsel, we find that these actions involve common questions of fact with actions previously transferred to MDL No. 1871, and that transfer will serve the convenience of the parties and witnesses and promote the just and efficient conduct of the litigation. Moreover, transfer is warranted for the reasons set out in our original order directing centralization. In that order, we held that the Eastern District of Pennsylvania was an appropriate Section 1407 forum for actions involving factual questions "aris[ing] from allegations that certain diabetes drugs manufactured by GSK – Avandia and/or two sister drugs containing Avandia (Avandamet and Avandaryl) – cause an increased risk of heart attack and other physical injury, and that GSK failed to provide adequate warnings concerning that risk." See In re Avandia Mktg., Sales Practices & Prods. Liab. Litig., 528 F. Supp. 2d 1339, 1340-41 (J.P.M.L. 2007). Plaintiffs do not dispute that their actions share multiple factual issues with those already in the MDL.

²(...continued)

were removed not only on diversity grounds but also on the grounds that they are "mass actions" as defined in the Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA). See 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(11). Our review of Judge Rufe's earlier ruling indicates that the subject actions were not removed on CAFA mass action grounds. The California plaintiffs point out that CAFA prohibits Section 1407 transfer of an action removed on mass action grounds, absent a request by a majority of the plaintiffs therein. See 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(11)(C)(i). As we have held, however, that prohibition is not an impediment to transfer where, as here, another ground for federal jurisdiction is asserted in the notice of removal. See In re: Darvocet, Darvon & Propoxyphene Prods. Liab. Litig., — F. Supp. 2d —, 2013 WL 1635469, at *4 (J.P.M.L. Apr. 17, 2013).

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407, the actions listed on Schedule A are transferred to the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Cynthia M. Rufe for inclusion in the coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings.

PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

hh G. Heyburn II Chairman

Kathryn H. Vratil Charles R. Breyer Paul J. Barbadoro Lewis A. Kaplan

SCHEDULE A

Central District of California

John Syrek, et al. v. SmithKline Beecham Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 8:13-00987

Northern District of California

Alyssa Anderson, et al. v. McKesson Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 3:13-03042 Bessie Buckley, et al. v. McKesson Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 3:13-03043 Renetta Barnes, et al. v. McKesson Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 3:13-03047 Jo-Mar Adkins, et al. v. McKesson Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 3:13-03048 Paula Ackerman, et al. v. McKesson Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 3:13-03049 Tauheedah Aleem, et al. v. McKesson Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 3:13-03051 Raymond E. Esche, et al. v. McKesson Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 3:13-03062 Donna Allen, et al. v. McKesson Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 3:13-03063 Osey Joshlin, et al. v. McKesson Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 3:13-03065 Charles Allender, et al. v. McKesson Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 3:13-03068 Audie Dadus, et al. v. McKesson Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 3:13-03069 Mike Albayrak, et al. v. McKesson Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 3:13-03095 Sylvia J. Summa, et al. v. McKesson Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 3:13-03097 Henry Adams, et al. v. McKesson Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 3:13-03102 Mary Anderson, et al. v. McKesson Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 3:13-03104 Vanessa Allen, et al. v. McKesson Corporation, et al., C.A. No.3:13-03110 Janice Aud, et al. v. McKesson Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 3:13-03111 Loretta Alvarez, et al. v. McKesson Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 3:13-03112 Wilma Hargrove, et al. v. McKesson Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 3:13-03114 Melissa Poff, et al. v. McKesson Corporation, et al., C.A. No.3:13-03115 Maxio Alvarez, et al. v. McKesson Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 3:13-03116 Rosalynn Alaimalo, et al. v. McKesson Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 3:13-03146 Steven Brock, et al. v. McKesson Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 3:13-03149 Santiago Flores, et al. v. McKesson Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 3:13-03153 Bobby Butler, et al. v. McKesson Corporation, et al., C.A. No.3:13-03154 Shukry Messih, et al. v. McKesson Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 3:13-03155 Esther Ortiz, et al. v. McKesson Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 3:13-03159 Eugene Parks, et al. v. McKesson Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 3:13-03162 Linda Dudley, et al. v. McKesson Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 3:13-03168 Dorothy Jones, et al. v. McKesson Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 3:13-03170 Ronald Fortune, et al. v. McKesson Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 3:13-03172

MDL No. 1871 Schedule A (continued)

Northern District of California (continued)

Latresha Harrison, et al. v. McKesson Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 4:13-03045 James Fields, et al. v. McKesson Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 4:13-03050 Melissa Ball, et al. v. McKesson Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 4:13-03053 Vickie Aaron, et al. v. McKesson Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 4:13-03054 Margaret Arvizu, et al. v. McKesson Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 4:13-03064 Willie Allen, et al. v. McKesson Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 4:13-03066 Carlos Tarango, et al. v. GlaxoSmithKline LLC, et al., C.A. No. 4:13-03070 Samona Myers, et al. v. McKesson Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 4:13-03096 Frank Pandolfo, et al. v. McKesson Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 4:13-03100 Eva Lamb, et al. v. McKesson Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 4:13-03101 Clarissa August, et al. v. McKesson Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 4:13-03103 Elizabeth Pacheco, et al. v. McKesson Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 4:13-03107 JoAnne West, et al. v. McKesson Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 4:13-03109 Margarita Cruz, et al. v. McKesson Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 4:13-03150 Patricia Boreni, et al. v. McKesson Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 4:13-03152 Johnnie Johnson, et al. v. McKesson Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 4:13-03158 Oscar Torres, et al. v. McKesson Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 4:13-03160 Yaqub Yaqub, et al. v. McKesson Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 4:13-03161 Manuel Zavala, Jr., et al. v. McKesson Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 4:13-03163 Sylvia Muniz, et al. v. McKesson Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 4:13-03171 Marvin Ashley, et al. v. McKesson Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 4:13-03173

Southern District of Illinois

Richard Jamison, et al. v. SmithKline Beecham Corporation, C.A. No. 3:13-00677 Adell Font, et al. v. SmithKline Beecham Corporation, C.A. No. 3:13-00678 Terence Williams, et al. v. SmithKline Beecham Corporation, C.A. No. 3:13-00680 George Ira Carroll, et al. v. SmithKline Beecham Corporation, C.A. No. 3:13-00685