1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	PATRICK MCERLAIN, No. C-13-3232 MMC	
12	Plaintiff, ORDER VACATING HEARING ON DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR	
13	V. SUMMARY JUDGMENT	
14	PARK PLAZA TOWERS OWNERS ASSOCIATION, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16	/	
17		
18	Before the Court are two motions filed by defendants: ¹ (1) "Motion for Summary	
19	Judgment or Alternatively Summary Adjudication," filed September 24, 2014 and noticed	
20	for hearing on October 31, 2014; and (2) "Motion to Dismiss," filed October 6, 2014 and	
21	noticed for hearing on November 14, 2014.	
22	In the first of the above-referenced motions, said defendants argue they are entitled	
23	to judgment on the merits. In the second of the above-referenced motions, said defendants	
24	argue that the Court must abstain from deciding the merits of the instant action in light of a	
25	pending state court action, under the doctrine set forth in Younger v. Harris, 401 U.S. 37	
26	(1971).	
27		
28	¹ Defendants are Joseph Schreurs, Ladonna Horwitz, Nyla Starr, Sherry Berenstein, Julie Robles, Cynthia Schreurs, Tracy Fallon, Norma Berliner-Saltz, Tim Ho, Dennis Gale, David Behling, and Behling Property Management Corporation.	

As the Ninth Circuit had held, "if the district court is required to abstain under Younger," the district court "has no authority" to rule on the merits of the claim. See Meredith v Oregon, 321 F.3d 807, 816 (9th Cir. 2003). Consequently, if, as defendants contend, the Court is required to abstain under Younger, then the Court has no authority to determine whether, as defendants also contend, they are entitled to judgment on the merits. Under such circumstances, the Court hereby VACATES the October 31, 2014 hearing on defendants' motion for summary judgment. The Court will reset the hearing date, as appropriate, after determining whether the Court is required to abstain under Younger. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: October 29, 2014 ted States District Judge