

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BIOTECHNOLOGY VALUE FUND, L.P.,
BIOTECHNOLOGY VALUE FUND II,
L.P., INVESTMENT 10, L.L.C., BVF
INVESTMENTS, L.L.C., BVF INC., and
BVF X, LLC,

Plaintiffs,

v.

CELERA CORPORATION, QUEST
DIAGNOSTICS INCORPORATED,
CREDIT SUISSE SECURITIES (USA)
LLC, KATHY ORDONEZ, RICHARD H.
AYERS, JEAN-LUC BELINGARD,
WILLIAM G. GREEN, PETER BARTON
HUTT, GAIL M. NAUGHTON, WAYNE
I. ROE, and BENNET M. SHAPIRO,

Defendants.

No. C 13-03248 WHA

**ORDER RE STIPULATION TO
EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND
TO AMENDED COMPLAINT
AND TO SET MODIFIED
BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR
MOTIONS TO DISMISS**

The parties stipulated to an extension of time for defendants to respond to the amended complaint (Dkt. No. 27). Under Local Rule 6-1(a), this is permissible without a court order. The parties, however, further agreed to a modified briefing schedule for defendants' motions to dismiss. Although the briefing schedule departs significantly from the normal 35-day track, the parties have not provided adequate justification for such departure. Accordingly, this briefing schedule is **DENIED**. Defendants will file the motions to dismiss on a normal 35-day track.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 7, 2013.



WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE