2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

documents and the depositions of foreign witnesses.¹ Defendants oppose the issuance of these letters rogatory on several grounds; they contend that: (1) the letters rogatory seek, in part, information that has already been produced in the MDL; (2) the letters rogatory erroneously state that many of the defendants have admitted to criminal price-fixing conspiracy when in fact, only two of the remaining eleven defendants pleaded guilty; (3) the letters rogatory erroneously characterize all defendants as LCD manufacturers, when some never manufactured LCDs; and (4) the letters rogatory contain several unproven allegations characterized as facts. MDL Master Dkt. No. 9105.

The Court hereby rules as follows. Plaintiffs' request for the issuance of twenty-eight letters rogatory is GRANTED. However, before the letters are issued, plaintiffs are ORDERED to (1) remove requests for any information previously produced in this MDL; (2) clarify which defendants actually admitted criminal liability; (3) clarify which defendants were actually LCD manufacturers; and (4) correctly differentiate between facts and unproven allegations. This Order resolves MDL Master Dkt. No. 9071.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 26, 2014

SUSAN ILLSTON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Suran Selaton

¹Plaintiffs initially sought the issuance of thirty-two letters rogatory but later withdrew the motion as to defendants Chi Mei Corporation, Innolux Corporation, CMO Japan Co. Ltd., Nexgen Mediatech, Inc., Sanyo Consumer Electronics Co., Ltd., Sanyo Electric Co., Ltd., Hitachi, Ltd., Samsung Electronics Co., Hannstar Display Corporation, and Sharp Corporation. See MDL Master Dkt. Nos. 9101, 9102, 9127, 9148.