STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE DELL'S AMENDED COMPLAINT

Doc. 62

Case No. 3:13-cy-3350-RS.
Dockets.Justia.com

Dell Inc. et al v. Hitachi-LG Data Storage, Inc. et al

Plaintiffs Dell Inc. and Dell Products L.P. (collectively, "Dell"), and Defendants Hitachi,		
Ltd., Hitachi-LG Data Storage, Inc., Hitachi-LG Data Storage Korea, Inc., Koninklijke Philips N.V.,		
Philips & Lite-On Digital Solutions Corporation, Philips & Lite-On Digital Solutions USA, Inc.,		
Lite-On IT Corporation of Taiwan, BenQ Corporation, BenQ America Corporation, NEC		
Corporation, Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., Samsung Electronics America Inc., Toshiba		
Corporation, Toshiba Samsung Storage Technology Corp., Toshiba Samsung Storage Technology		
Korea Corp., and Toshiba America Information Systems, Inc. (collectively, "Defendants") by and		
through undersigned counsel, stipulate and agree to the below:		

WHEREAS, Dell filed a Complaint against Defendants on May 13, 2013 in the Western District of Texas, Austin Division, ("Complaint") which action was transferred to the Northern District of California, San Francisco Division on July 16, 2013. *See* Case No. 3:13-CV-03350-RS (N.D. Cal), Dkt. Nos. 1, 19;

WHEREAS, Dell has proposed to amend its Complaint and provided Defendants with its proposed First Amended Complaint, and Defendants consent to such amendment;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY JOINTLY STIPULATED, by and between the undersigned counsel for Dell and Defendants, as follows:

- 1. Dell is granted leave to amend pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(2). Dell's First Amended Complaint shall be filed within five (5) days after entry of this stipulation and order by the Court;
- 2. Dell's First Amended Complaint shall relate back for all purposes to Dell's Complaint filed on May 13, 2013;
- 3. Defendants' answers shall be due 45 days from Dell's filing of its First Amended Complaint;
- 4. Defendants need answer only paragraphs 1, 74-76, 80, 140-143, and 164-185 of Dell's First Amended Complaint; and
- 5. This Stipulation and [Proposed] Order does not constitute a waiver by Defendants of any defenses to Dell's action.

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
2	Dated: December 23, 2016	ALSTON & BIRD LLP
3		Counsel for Plaintiffs Dell Inc. and Dell Products L.P.
4		/s/ Rodney J. Ganske
5	Dated: December 23, 2016	O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP Attorneys for Defendants Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. and Samsung Electronics America, Inc.
6		/s/ James M. Pearl
7	Dated: December 23, 2016	LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
8	200000 20000000 20, 2010	Attorneys for Defendants Toshiba Corporation,
9		Toshiba Samsung Storage Technology Corporation, Toshiba Samsung Storage Technology Korea
10		Corporation, and Toshiba America Information
11		Systems, Inc.
12		/s/ Belinda S Lee
13	Dated: December 23, 2016	BLANK ROME LLP Attorneys for Defendants BenQ Corp. and BenQ
14		America Corp.
15		/s/ Lisa M. Kaas
16	Dated: December 23, 2016	BAKER BOTTS LLP
17		Attorneys for Defendants Koninklijke Philips N.V., Philips & Lite-On Digital Solutions Corporation,
		Philips & Lite-On Digital Solutions USA, Inc., and
18		Lite-On IT Corporation of Taiwan
19		/s/ Evan J. Werbel
20	Dated: December 23, 2016	ROPES & GRAY LLP Attorneys for Defendants Hitachi-LG Data Storage,
21		Inc., Hitachi-LG Data Storage Korea, Inc.
22		/s/ Anthony C. Biagioli
23	Buted. Becomed 23, 2010	VINSON & ELKINS LLP
24		Attorneys for Defendant Hitachi, Ltd.
25		/s/ Craig P. Seebald
26		
27		

28

ATTESTATION Pursuant to Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from each of the other signatories. Dated: December 23, 2016 /s/ Rodney J. Ganske Rodney J. Ganske PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED DATED: <u>2/15/17</u> HONORABLE RICHARD SEEBORG United States District Judge Northern District of California