

1
2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

4 MOHAMMED RAHMAN,
5 Plaintiff,

6 v.

7 MOTT'S LLP,
8 Defendant.
9

Case No. [13-cv-03482-SI](#)

ORDER RE: CLASS CERTIFICATION

Re: Dkt. No. 75

10
11 A hearing on plaintiff's motion to certify is currently scheduled for December 5, 2014. Docket No.
12 75. The Court hereby ORDERS the parties to respond to the following questions no later than
13 **December 2, 2014.**

14
15 The following question is directed to both parties:

16 1. Since January 1, 2009, on what dates did the "No Sugar Added" statement not appear on Mott's
17 100% Apple Juice labels?

18 The following questions are directed solely to plaintiff:

19 2. Should the Court certify a liability only class pursuant to Rule 23(c)(4)? Should the plaintiff
20 ultimately prevail at trial, how does plaintiff propose the issue of damages will be resolved?

21 3. Why has plaintiff opted not to employ a damages expert to calculate damages on a class-wide
22 basis?

23 4. How would certifying a liability only class materially advance the litigation?
24

25 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

26 Dated: November 24, 2014

27 

28 SUSAN ILLSTON
United States District Judge