21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10			
11	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12			
13	JON GRAY,		
14	Plaintiff, No. C 13-03513 WHA		
15	v.		
16	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN ORDER REVOKING		
17	FRANCISCO, PLAINTIFF'S IN FORMA PAUPERIS STATUS		
18	Defendant. ON APPEAL		
19			
20	Plaintiff Jon Gray appeals the order granting defendant's motion to dismiss. On Marc		

h 21, 2014, our court of appeals referred the action back to this Court to determine whether to revoke plaintiff's IFP status on appeal if frivolous. Accordingly, plaintiff was given an opportunity to explain why his appeal was meritorious. In his responsive brief, plaintiff raises yet additional grounds for federal jurisdiction based on facts that do not appear in his complaint. The gravamen of plaintiff's claim for federal jurisdiction, however, is that he believes that he will be unfairly treated in state court. Even if plaintiff is correct, federal courts are courts of limited jurisdiction and the law simply does not allow this Court to adjudicate plaintiffs' action on that basis.

## United States District Court

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

Plaintiff's appeal is frivolous and therefore his IFP status on appeal is HEREBY		
REVOKED.	See 28 U.S.C. 1915(a)(3); <i>Hooker v. American Airlines</i> , 302 F.3d 1091, 1092 (9th	
Cir. 2002).		

The Clerk shall transmit a copy of this order to the Court of Appeals.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 3, 2014.

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE