

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN FRANCISCO NEWSPAPER
COMPANY, INC.,

No. C 13-3549 MMC

Plaintiff,

**ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO
ENLARGE TIME**

v.

HEARST CORPORATION, HEARST
COMMUNICATIONS, INC., SAN
FRANCISCO CHRONICLE LLC, FRANK
VEGA, MARK ADKINS, JEFF BERGIN AND
DOES 1-10,

Defendants.

Before the Court is plaintiff's Administrative Motion to Enlarge Time, by which plaintiff seeks to extend the deadline for the filing of opposition to defendants' motion to dismiss until a date after the Court's ruling on plaintiff's motion to remand. Defendants have filed opposition to the requested enlargement of time, noting plaintiff's refusal to agree to defendants' request to extend the deadline for defendants' response to the complaint until after resolution of the jurisdictional question.


Although defendants' argument has a certain equitable appeal, the Court finds the briefing sequence requested by plaintiff would serve both the interests of judicial economy and the parties' interest in avoiding a potentially unnecessary expenditure of time and resources.

Accordingly, plaintiff's administrative motion is hereby GRANTED, and the deadline

1 for filing opposition to defendants' motion to dismiss and the deadline for filing defendants'
2 reply thereto will be set at the time the Court rules on the motion to remand.

3 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

4 Dated: September 18, 2013

5 
6 MAXINE M. CHESNEY
7 United States District Judge

8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28