Doc 29

EX PARTE MOTION TO SHORTEN TIME AND FOREGO HEARING ON DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS

Pursuant to Local Rule 6-3, Defendants hereby move this Court for an *ex parte* order shortening the time for briefing of the Motion to Dismiss. Plaintiffs oppose this motion.

Declaration of Eric Brown ¶11.

As set forth in greater detail in Defendants' motion and supporting memorandum, this Court dismissed the related case *Wells Fargo v. Richmond*, Case No. 13-3663-CRB, on ripeness grounds that present no basis for distinguishing the instant case. Defendants have asked Plaintiffs to dismiss this case voluntarily, but Plaintiffs have refused to do so. Declaration of Eric Brown ¶4-11. Plaintiffs have offered no reason why this case would be ripe if *Wells Fargo* is not. *Id.* ¶11.

Because there is no non-frivolous basis to argue that this case is ripe, particularly given this Court's ruling in *Wells Fargo*, there is no need to allow the parties the regular time for briefing or to hold a hearing on the motion. Given the lack of such non-frivolous arguments, the only point of maintaining this lawsuit can be to seek to chill the political process in Richmond and elsewhere, and the Court should act expeditiously to dismiss the case.

Defendants therefore propose the following briefing schedule: Plaintiffs' opposition due Wednesday, September 25, 2013 and Defendants' reply due Friday, September 27, 2013. Defendants asked Plaintiffs if they would consent to this expedited schedule and Plaintiffs responded that they would not. *Id.* ¶11. Should the Court deem this proposed schedule untenable, Defendants ask that the Court set the most expedited schedule that it deems appropriate. Defendants further request that the Court rule on the papers without hearing. If this Court determines that a hearing should be held, Defendants ask that the hearing be set as soon as possible after completion of the briefing.

The only previous time modification in this case was to allow Defendants to delay responding to the Complaint until after the Court ruled on the pending motion to dismiss in the *Wells Fargo* case. Dkt. 23.

For the foregoing reasons, the Court should grant Defendants' motion to shorten time.

1		
2	Dated: September 20, 2013	Respectfully submitted,
3		/s/ Stacey M. Leyton
4		Stacey M. Leyton
5		Stephen P. Berzon
6		Scott A. Kronland Stacey M. Leyton
7		Eric P. Brown Altshuler Berzon LLP
8		
9		Attorneys for Defendants City of Richmond and
10		Mortgage Resolution Partners LLC
11		Bruce Reed Goodmiller
		Carlos A. Privat City of Richmond
12		City of Richmond
13		Attorneys for Defendant City of Richmond
14		William A. Falik
15		Attorney for Defendant
16		Mortgage Resolution Partners LLC
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		