

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

STEVE SHANNON, derivatively on behalf  
of AMYRIS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

JOHN G. MELO, ARTHUR LEVINSON,  
RALPH ALEXANDER, PHILIPPE  
BOISSEAU, JOHN DOERR, GEOFFREY  
DUYK, SAMIR KAUL, PATRICK  
PICHETTE, CAROLE PIWNICA, KEITH  
KINKEAD REILING, FERNANDO  
REINACH, NEIL RENNINGER, PETER  
BOYNTON, JOEL CHERRY, JERYL  
HILLEMAN, JEFFERSON LIEVENSE,  
TAMARA TOMPKINS, and MARIO  
PORTELA,

Defendants,

and

AMYRIS, INC.,

Nominal Defendant.

Case No. 3:13-cv-03694-WHO

**ORDER REGARDING SCHEDULE FOR  
AMENDING COMPLAINT AND  
DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE TO  
COMPLAINT**


Filed: August 8, 2013

1 Having considered the Stipulation Regarding Schedule for Amending Complaint and  
2 Defendants' Response to Complaint, and good cause showing,

3 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AS FOLLOWS:

- 4 1) Plaintiff shall file an amended complaint, or otherwise designate his current complaint  
5 as the operative complaint, on or before November 15, 2013;
- 6 2) Defendants' deadline for responding to the complaint in this action shall be extended  
7 such that Defendants shall answer, move to dismiss, or otherwise respond to Plaintiff's  
8 operative complaint on or before January 14, 2014;
- 9 3) If Defendants file a motion to dismiss, Plaintiff shall file any opposition to that motion  
10 on or before March 17, 2014; and
- 11 4) If Defendants file a motion to dismiss, Defendants shall file any reply regarding that  
12 motion on or before April 16, 2014.

13 Dated: October 18, 2013



14  
15 WILLIAM H. ORRICK  
16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28