

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

DAVID M. CURLEY, SR.,  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
v.  
  
WELLS FARGO & CO., and others,  
  
Defendants.

Case No. 13-cv-03805 NC


**ORDER PERMITTING PLAINTIFF  
TO FILE OBJECTION OR  
RESPONSE TO EVIDENCE IN  
DOCKET NUMBERS 125, 126-1**

Re: Dkt. Nos. 125, 126-1

With its reply brief, Wells Fargo has submitted additional evidence in support of its summary judgment motion. Dkt. No. 125 (exhibit “inadvertently left off” initial motion); Dkt. No. 126-1 (supplemental declaration of E. Manukyan). Plaintiff David Curley may object or respond to this evidence by July 13 in a brief not to exceed three pages in length.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: July 7, 2015

  
Nathanael M. Cousins  
United States Magistrate Judge