

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DOUGLAS O’CONNOR, *et al.*,

No. C-13-3826 EMC

Plaintiffs,

v.

**ORDER RE DEFENDANT’S  
CORRECTIVE NOTICES**

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., *et al.*,

**(Docket No. 109)**

Defendants.

Before the Court are Uber’s revised proposed corrective notices and licensing agreement.  
Docket No. 109. In response to Uber’s requests, the Court orders as follows:

- (1) Uber shall send the “advance notice” as it initially proposed. Drivers are more likely to take note of the changes to the licensing agreement if a notice is sent in advance.
- (2) Uber may exclude Plaintiffs’ counsel’s contact information from the licensing agreement (but shall include it in the “advance notice” and the second notice that accompanies the licensing agreement).

The Court has made corrections (highlighted) to the revised proposed corrective notices and licensing agreement. Uber shall issue the documents as corrected.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 18, 2014



EDWARD M. CHEN  
United States District Judge