1	
2	
3	
4	
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7	
8	DOUGLAS O'CONNOR, et al., No. C-13-3826 EMC
9	Plaintiffs, SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFING ORDER
10	V.
11	UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., et al.,
12	Defendants.
13	
14	In connection with its opposition to Plaintiffs' motion for class certification, Defendants
15	prepared charts that summarize the contents of the various agreements in this case. It appears,
16	however, that some of the charts may not capture all 17 agreements at issue. For instance, Exhibit
17	53 to the Evangelis Declaration does not appear to contain entries regarding the four "driver
18	addenda" in the record. See, e.g., Coleman Decl, Ex. E. For the sake of completeness, the Court
19	asks Uber to file updated and complete chart(s) by Tuesday, August 4, 2015.
20	IT IS SO ORDERED.
21	
22	Dated: July 31, 2015
23	tadh
24	EDWARD M. CHEN United States District Judge
25	
26	
27	
28	

United States District Court For the Northern District of California