

1 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
 2 THEODORE J. BOUTROUS, JR., SBN 132099
 3 tboutrous@gibsondunn.com
 4 THEANE D. EVANGELIS, SBN 243570
 5 tevangelis@gibsondunn.com
 6 BRANDON J. STOKER, SBN 277325
 7 bstoker@gibsondunn.com
 8 333 South Grand Avenue
 9 Los Angeles, CA 90071-3197
 10 Telephone: 213.229.7000
 11 Facsimile: 213.229.7520

12 JOSHUA S. LIPSHUTZ, SBN 242557
 13 jlipshutz@gibsondunn.com
 14 KEVIN J. RING-DOWELL, SBN 278289
 15 kringdowell@gibsondunn.com
 16 555 Mission Street, Suite 3000
 17 San Francisco, CA 94105-0921
 18 Telephone: 415.393.8200
 19 Facsimile: 415.393.8306

20 Attorneys for Defendant
 21 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

22 LICHTEN & LISS-RIORDAN, P.C.
 23 SHANNON LISS-RIORDAN, *pro hac vice*
 24 sliss@llrlaw.com
 25 ADELAIDE PAGANO, *pro hac vice*
 26 apagano@llrlaw.com
 27 729 Boylston Street, Suite 2000
 28 Boston, MA 02116
 Telephone: (617) 994-5800
 Facsimile: (617) 994-5801

CARLSON LEGAL SERVICES
 MATTHEW CARLSON, SBN 273242
 mcarlson@carlsonlegalservices.com
 100 Pine Street, Suite 1250
 San Francisco, CA 94111
 Telephone: (415) 817-1470

Attorneys for Plaintiffs
 DOUGLAS O’CONNOR, THOMAS COLOPY,
 MATTHEW MANAHAN, and ELIE
 GURFINKEL

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

DOUGLAS O’CONNOR, THOMAS
 COLOPY, MATTHEW MANAHAN, and
 ELIE GURFINKEL, individually and on
 behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 13-03826-EMC

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER
 REGARDING HEARING SCHEDULE FOR
 DEFENDANT’S MOTION TO COMPEL
 ARBITRATION AS TO CERTAIN ABSENT
 CLASS MEMBERS**

STIPULATION

1
2 Plaintiffs Douglas O'Connor, Thomas Colopy, Matthew Manahan, and Elie Gurfinkel
3 (“Plaintiffs”) and Defendant Uber Technologies, Inc. (“Uber”) (collectively, the “Parties”), by and
4 through their respective counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:

5 WHEREAS, on September 10, 2015, Uber filed its motion to compel arbitration as to certain
6 absent class members, *see* Docket No. 346;

7 WHEREAS, the hearing on Uber’s motion to compel arbitration is currently scheduled for
8 October 15, 2015;

9 WHEREAS, the Court has scheduled a case management conference in this matter for
10 October 21, 2015 at 9:30 a.m.;

11 WHEREAS, counsel for the Parties will also appear before the Court at on October 21, 2015,
12 at 9:30 a.m. for a hearing on Uber’s motion to compel arbitration in *Yucesoy v. Uber Technologies,*
13 *Inc.*, No. 15-cv-00262-EMC;

14 WHEREAS, certain of the Parties’ counsel will be traveling to San Francisco from Los
15 Angeles and Boston for the aforementioned hearings;

16 WHEREAS, to conserve the resources of the Court and the Parties, the Parties have conferred
17 and agreed that the October 15, 2015 hearing on Uber’s motion to compel arbitration should be
18 rescheduled for October 21, 2015 at 9:30 a.m., to coincide with the case management conference in
19 this matter and the hearing on Uber’s motion to compel in the *Yucesoy* matter.

20 NOW THEREFORE, the Parties hereby stipulate, subject to the approval of this Court, that
21 the hearing date for Uber’s motion to compel arbitration as to certain absent class members shall be
22 continued to October 21, 2015 at 9:30 a.m.

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

