20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

## 1 2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 3 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 4 5 6 DOUGLAS O'CONNOR, et al., 7 Plaintiffs, 8 V. 9 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., et al., 10 Defendants. 11 12 IN RE UBER FCRA LITIGATION 13 14 HAKAN YUCESOY, et al., 15 Plaintiffs, 16 v. 17 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., et al., 18 Defendants. 19

Case No. 13-cv-03826-EMC Case No. 14-cv-05200-EMC

Case No. 15-cv-00262-EMC

ORDER RE DEFENDANT'S REQUEST TO EXPEDITE THE BRIEFING SCHEDULE ON DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO STAY

O'Connor Docket No. 440

*In re Uber FCRA Litigation* Docket No. 141

Yucesov Docket No. 167

On December 30, 2015, Defendant Uber Technologies, Inc. filed a motion for administrative relief to expedite the briefing schedule on Uber's motion to stay the Court's December 23, 2015 order on Plaintiffs' motions to enjoin Uber's communications with class and putative class members. Plaintiffs are to file any opposition to Uber's motion to expedite the briefing schedule on the motion to stay by January 4, 2016 at 2:00 P.M.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 31, 2015

EDWARD M. United States District Judge