

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DOUGLAS O'CONNOR, et al.,  
Plaintiffs,  
v.  
UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., et al.,  
Defendants.

Case No. [13-cv-03826-EMC](#) (DMR)


**ORDER RE PROPOSED SECOND  
AMENDED STIPULATED  
PROTECTIVE ORDER**

Re: Dkt. No. 467

The court has received the parties' proposed second amended stipulated protective order. [Docket No. 467.] It is not clear how this proposed stipulated protective order differs from the November 26, 2014 amended protective order (Docket No. 208), since the parties did not submit a redlined version for the court's review. By no later than February 9, 2016, the parties shall submit a brief joint statement describing the differences between the two protective orders and explaining why such changes are necessary.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: February 3, 2016



United States District Court  
Northern District of California