

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DOUGLAS O'CONNOR, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

HAKAN YUCESOY, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., et al.,

Defendants

Case No. [13-cv-03826-EMC](#)

Case No. [15-cv-00262-EMC](#)

**ORDER RE SUPPLEMENTAL  
BRIEFING ON PLAINTIFFS' MOTION  
FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL**

*O'Connor*, Docket No. 518  
*Yucesoy*, Docket No. 206

Plaintiffs must file their supplemental Private Attorney General Act (PAGA) notice, sent on April 21, 2016, by **12:00 Noon, Wednesday, June 1, 2016**. See Docket No. 611 at 16 n. 14.

The parties must also explain the current status of the December 2015 arbitration agreement, including:

(1) To how many drivers has the December 2015 arbitration agreement been disseminated in California, Massachusetts, and the United States as a whole?

(2) Which arbitration agreement has Uber been distributing since the Court's Rule 23(d) order of December, 2015 was issued, and how many drivers have received that arbitration agreement?

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

The parties' response is also due by **12:00 Noon, Wednesday, June 1, 2016.**

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: May 31, 2016

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
EDWARD M. CHEN  
United States District Judge