

Unite Fou

On July 3, defendant filed a précis seeking leave to file a motion for summary judgment. 1 2 Defendant argued that Ms. Dunford's report was not obtained for "employment purposes;" 3 defendant's actions were not willful; and Ms. Dunford's report did not contain an "adverse item 4 of information" (Dkt. No. 63). 5 On July 3, the parties filed a "stipulated request for order shortening time for decision on 6 plaintiff's unopposed motion for appointment of interim class counsel" (Dkt. No. 64). 7 The parties have a settlement conference before Magistrate Judge Jacqueline Corley set for 8 July 9 (Dkt. Nos. 22, 57). 9 * * 10 Upon review of the record, the following is hereby ordered: 1. 11 The joint stipulation to shorten time on the motion to appoint interim counsel is 12 **DENIED**. 13 2. The motion to appoint Caddell & Chapman as interim counsel is HELD IN 14 **ABEYANCE** until summary judgment and class certification are decided. This is because any 15 possible flaws in Ms. Dunford's standing and/or ability to represent putative class members 16 should not factor into settlement negotiations compromising a class recovery. No class has 17 been certified to date. If (and when) a class is certified, the class' claims should be evaluated on 18 the merits, not influenced by the risk that the particular plaintiff lacks standing. Moreover, any 19 motion for approval of a proposed class settlement would require proper evidentiary and/or 20 expert support. See Daniels v. Aeropostale West, Inc., No. 3:12-cv-05755-WHA, 2014 WL 21 2215708, at *3 (N.D. Cal. May 29, 2014); Kakani v. Oracle Corp., No. 3:06-cv-06493-WHA, 22 2007 WL 1793774, at *7 (N.D. Cal. June 19, 2007). In most circumstances "it is better to 23 develop and to present a proposed compromise after class certification, after diligent discovery 24 on the merits, and after the damage study has been finalized" (Dkt. No. 20). The summary 25 judgment motion should be heard and decided before any settlement discussions occur because 26 if it turns out that Ms. Dunford lacks standing, then class members should not be saddled with a 27 proposed settlement that reflects that risk.

For the Northern District of California

28

United States District Court

United States District Court

3. This order recommends that the July 9 settlement conference be postponed until after summary judgment and/or class certification is decided in August 2014.

4. The following briefing schedule shall apply for defendant's motion for summary judgment. Defendant's motion (not to exceed fifteen pages) is due by JULY 15 AT NOON.
Plaintiff's opposition (not to exceed fifteen pages) is due by JULY 22 AT NOON. No replies, please. Defendant's motion for summary judgment, plaintiff's motion for class certification, and plaintiff's motion to appoint interim counsel shall be heard on JULY 31, 2014 AT 8:00 A.M.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 7, 2014.

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE