

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ASTRAILIA I. DUNFORD, individually and  
on behalf of all similarly situated,

No. C 13-03829 WHA

Plaintiff,

v.

AMERICAN DATABANK LLC,


**REQUEST FOR RESPONSES**

Defendant.

1. Defendant may file a response to plaintiff's opposition to defendant's motion for summary judgment, or in the alternative, partial summary judgment (not to exceed seven pages) by **5 P.M. ON JULY 25**.

2. Also by **5 P.M. ON JULY 25**, plaintiff shall explain (in a submission not to exceed three pages) whether (and how) numerosity for the proposed classes has been satisfied. (The Court is in receipt of the parties' prior stipulation (Dkt. No. 40-5).) Please lay out the proposed class definitions and explain how there could be a finding that each of the proposed classes is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable.

Dated: July 22, 2014.

  
WILLIAM ALSUP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE