1	
2	
3	
4	
5	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7	TOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALL ORDER
8	ASTRAILIA I. DUNFORD, individually and No. C 13-03829 WHA
9	on behalf of all similarly situated,
10	Plaintiff,
11	v.
12	AMERICAN DATABANK LLC, NOTICE RE HEARING
13	Defendant.
14	
15	1. Please bring to the hearing tomorrow all documents that could possibly be
16	relevant. Counsel who argue the motions must be prepared to find relevant documents
17	promptly upon request at the hearing.
18	2. Section 1681b(b)(1)(A) requires that the "person who obtains [the] report from
19	the agency certif[y] to the agency" that it has complied with provisions of the FCRA and the
20	information in the report will not be used in violation of any applicable federal or state equal
21	opportunity law or regulation. In the present case, the person who obtained the report was
22	plaintiff herself. In the normal case, however, the person who obtains the report is the employer
23	or prospective employer. The employer or prospective employer would make the certification
24	required by Section 1681b(b)(1)(A). The Court has already inquired about what authority
25	allows Ms. Dunford to bring claims under Sections 1681b(b)(2)(A)(i), 1681b(b)(1),
26	1681c(a)(2), and 1681c(a)(5) in light of the fact that she — not American DataBank — gave
27	the report to San Diego City College, who shared it with the clinical programs. This notice
28	raises a related point, namely, Section 1681b(b)(1)(A) refers to "the person who obtains [the]
	report from the agency." A tentative reading of this phrase seems to refer to the employer or

prospective employer, not the subject of the report. Plaintiff argues (Br. 2, 16) that defendant agency violated the certification "requirement because facilities who use its reports to decide whether to allow nursing students to participate in clinical rotations at their campuses d[id] not provide [American DataBank] with the appropriate certification before using the reports." In other words, plaintiff argues that American DataBank failed to obtain certifications from the clinical facilities (Dkt. No. 40 at 2, 16). But Ms. Berg, the associate dean at San Diego City College, stated that "[American DataBank] was not involved in forwarding Ms. Dunford's background report to any of the clinical sites that reviewed it" (Berg. Decl. ¶ 10). How can Section 1681b(b)(1)(A) apply when American DataBank provided the report only to plaintiff and plaintiff herself sent the report to the school, who then shared it with the clinical programs? Did American DataBank ever directly send Ms. Dunford's report to San Diego City College? (If so, when?) If possible, please include this in the supplemental briefing due today and be prepared to discuss this at the hearing tomorrow.

Dated: July 30, 2014.

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE