KEITH E. EGGLETON, State Bar No. 159842 1 Email: keggleton@wsgr.com 2 RODNEY G. STRICKLAND, State Bar No. 161934 Email: rstrickland@wsgr.com 3 KELLEY M. KINNEY, State Bar No. 216823 Email: kkinney@wsgr.com WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI 4 **Professional Corporation** 5 650 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050 6 Telephone: (650) 493-9300 Facsimile: (650) 565-5100 7 Attorneys for Defendants 8 Betsy S. Atkins, John A. Kelley, D. Scott Mercer, William A. Owens, Kevin T. Parker 9 and Nominal Defendant Polycom, Inc. UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 12 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 13 Lead Case No.: 3:13-cv-3880-SC 14 IN RE POLYCOM, INC. DERIVATIVE LITIGATION, 15 (Derivative Action) 16 This Document Relates To: STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER REGARDING 17 **ALL ACTIONS** PLAINTIFFS' FILING OF AN AMENDED COMPLAINT AND 18 SETTING BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE 19 **THERETO** 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: FILING OF AMENDED COMPLAINT & BRIEFING SCHEDULE IN RESPONSE THERETO LEAD CASE NO.: 3:13-CV-3880-SC

Doc. 46

Dockets.Justia.com

Saraceni v. Miller et al

Pursuant to Rule 15 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and N.D. Cal. Civil Local Rules 6-2 and 7-12, the parties submit the following Stipulation and [Proposed] Order through their respective counsel of record.

WHEREAS, plaintiff Ralph Saraceni filed a shareholder derivative complaint against defendants Andrew M. Miller, Betsy S. Atkins, John A. Kelley, D. Scott Mercer, William A. Owens, and Kevin T. Parker, as well as nominal defendant Polycom, Inc. (collectively, "Defendants") for violation of various state laws on August 21, 2013 ("Saraceni Derivative Action") (Case No. 3:13-cv-03880-SC, ECF No. 1);

WHEREAS, plaintiff James Donnelly filed a shareholder derivative complaint against Defendants for violation of various state laws on October 16, 2013 ("Donnelly Derivative Action") (Case No. 3:13-cv-04810-SC, ECF No. 1);

WHEREAS, on October 31, 2013, the Court entered an order (the "Consolidation Order") which: (1) consolidated the Saraceni Derivative Action and the Donnelly Derivative Action into the above-captioned action (the "Consolidated Derivative Action"); (2) required plaintiffs Saraceni and Donnelly (together, "Plaintiffs") to file or designate an operative complaint in the Consolidated Derivative Action within 30 days of the Consolidation Order; and (3) within 10 days of such filing or designation of an operative complaint, required the parties to meet and confer regarding and file a mutually agreeable schedule and dates by which Defendants must answer, move to dismiss, or otherwise respond to the operative complaint and file a stipulated briefing schedule with the Court for approval (ECF No. 27);

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs filed a notice designating the complaint filed in the *Donnelly* Derivative Action (the "Operative Complaint") as operative in the Consolidated Derivative Action on November 27, 2013 (ECF No. 28);

WHEREAS, on December 10, 2013, pursuant to the parties' stipulation, the Court entered an order (the "Order Directing Briefing Schedule") requiring Defendants to file their motion(s) to dismiss the Operative Complaint no later than February 7, 2014; Plaintiffs to file their opposition to Defendants' motion(s) to dismiss no later than March 14, 2014; and

Defendants to file their reply brief(s) in support of their motion(s) to dismiss no later than STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: -1-FILING OF AMENDED COMPLAINT & BRIEFING SCHEDULE IN RESPONSE THERETO

LEAD CASE NO.: 3:13-CV-3880-SC

1	March 28, 2014; and setting a hearing date on Defendants' motion(s) to dismiss for April 18,		
2	2014 at 10:00 a.m. (ECF No. 36);		
3	WHEREAS, Defendants filed motions to dismiss the Operative Complaint on February 7		
4	2014 (ECF Nos. 37, 40);		
5	WHE	REAS , Plaintiffs did not file an opposition to Defendants' motions to dismiss, but	
6	instead, on March 17, 2014, filed a Verified Consolidated Shareholder Derivative Complaint (the		
7	"Consolidated Complaint") (ECF No. 44);		
8	WHEREAS, the parties have met and conferred regarding the timing of the Consolidated		
9	Complaint, and the claims and allegations therein, including the basis for Plaintiffs' new Section		
10	14(a) and corporate waste claims relating to the Polycom director's compensation and Polycom's		
11	2012 Proxy disclosures related thereto (the "2012 Proxy-Related Allegations");		
12	WHEREAS, following such discussions, Plaintiffs have agreed to withdraw the		
13	Consolidated Complaint, and instead file an amended complaint that shall serve as the new		
14	operative complaint in this action, and which shall omit the 2012 Proxy-Related Allegations;		
15	WHEREAS, Defendants have therefore agreed to withdraw their currently pending		
16	motions to dismiss the Operative Complaint as moot in light of Plaintiffs' intent to file an		
17	amended complaint;		
18	WHEREAS, the parties have agreed to a schedule as follows:		
19	(1)	Plaintiffs shall file an amended complaint, which omits the 2012 Proxy-Related	
20		Allegations, no later than April 4, 2014;	
21	(2)	Defendants shall move to dismiss the amended complaint no later than May 23,	
22		2014;	
23	(3)	Plaintiffs shall file their opposition(s) to Defendants' motion(s) to dismiss the	
24		amended complaint no later than July 11, 2014;	
25	(4)	Defendants shall file their reply brief(s) in support of their motion(s) to dismiss the	
26		amended complaint no later than August 8, 2014; and	

28

27

28

1

(5) The hearing date for Defendants' motion(s) to dismiss the amended complaint shall be set for August 22, 2014 at 10:00 a.m., or the soonest date thereafter on which the Court is available to hear the motion(s);

WHEREAS, the Order Directing Briefing Schedule also set an Initial Case Management Conference in the Consolidated Derivative Action for April 18, 2014 at 10:00 a.m. (ECF No. 36); and

WHEREAS, subject to the Court's approval, the parties agree that the Initial Case Management Conference should be continued until after Defendants respond to the amended complaint.

NOW, THEREFORE, subject to the Court's approval, the parties hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

- **(1)** The deadline set forth in the Order Directing Briefing Schedule requiring Defendants' to file reply brief(s) in support of their motion(s) to dismiss the Operative Complaint by March 28, 2014 shall be vacated;
- The hearing on Defendants' motions to dismiss the Operative Complaint currently (2) scheduled for April 18, 2014 at 10:00 a.m. shall be taken off calendar;
- (3) Plaintiffs shall file an amended complaint in the Consolidated Derivative Action, which omits the 2012 Proxy-Related Allegations, no later than April 4, 2014;
- (4) Defendants shall move to dismiss the amended complaint no later than May 23, 2014;
- (5) Plaintiffs shall file their opposition(s) to Defendants' motion(s) to dismiss the amended complaint no later than July 11, 2014;
- (6)Defendants shall file their reply brief(s) in support of their motion(s) to dismiss the amended complaint no later than August 8, 2014;
- The hearing date for Defendants' motion(s) to dismiss the amended complaint will (7) be set for August 22, 2014 at 10:00 a.m., or the soonest date thereafter on which the Court is available to hear the motion(s); and

-3-

IN RESPONSE THERETO

LEAD CASE NO.: 3:13-CV-3880-SC

1	(8) The Initial Case Managemen	nt Conference in the Consolidated Derivative Action	
2	currently scheduled for Apri	1 18, 2014 at 10:00 a.m. is hereby vacated, and the	
3	Initial Case Management Co	onference in the Consolidated Derivative Action shall	
4	instead be set for August 22,	, 2014 at 10:00 a.m., or to such other date and time as	
5	this Court may order.		
6	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
7	Dated: March 27, 2013	Respectfully submitted,	
8		WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI Professional Corporation 650 Page Mill Road	
10		Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050 Telephone: (650) 493-9300 Facsimile: (650) 565-5100	
11		racsilille. (030) 303-3100	
12		By: <u>/s/ Keith E. Eggleton</u> Keith E. Eggleton	
13		keggleton@wsgr.com	
14 15		Attorneys for Defendants Betsy S. Atkins, John A. Kelley, D. Scott Mercer, William A. Owens, Kevin	
16		T. Parker, and Nominal Defendant Polycom, Inc.	
17	Datad: March 27, 2012	By: /s/ Paul T. Friedman	
18	Dated: March 27, 2013	Paul T. Friedman pfriedman@mofo.com	
19		MORRISON FOERSTER	
20		PAUL T. FRIEDMAN PHILIP T. BESIROF	
21		425 Market Street San Francisco, CA 94105-2482	
22		Telephone: (415) 268-7000 Facsimile: (415) 268-7522	
23		Attorneys for Defendant Andrew M. Miller	
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: FILING OF AMENDED COMPLAINT & BRIFFING SCHEDULE	-4-	

OF AMENDED COMPLAINT & BRIEFING SCHEDULE IN RESPONSE THERETO

LEAD CASE NO.: 3:13-CV-3880-SC

1 2	Dated: March 27, 2013	By: /s/ Shawn E. Fields Shawn E. Fields nathanh@johnsonandweaver.com		
3				
		JOHNSON & WEAVER, LLP FRANK J. JOHNSON		
4		SHAWN E. FIELDS NATHAN R. HAMLER		
5		110 West A Street, Suite 750 San Diego, CA 92101		
6		Telephone: (619) 230-0063 Facsimile: (619) 255-1856		
7				
8		Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
9				
10	I, Keith E. Eggleton, am the ECF user whose ID and password are being used to file this			
11	Setting Briefing Schedule for Defendants' Re	fing Plaintiffs' Filing of An Amended Complaint and esponse Thereto. In compliance with Civil Local		
12	Rule $5-1(i)(3)$, I hereby attest that Paul T. Friedman, and Shawn E. Fields have concut this filing.			
13				
14				
15	PROP	OSED] ORDER		
16	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.			
17		DICT		
18	Dated: 03/27 , 2014	STATES DISTRICT CO.		
19		THE ONORABLE ONTI		
20		(5) Assertion (4)		
21		Judge Samuel Conti		
22		THE OF CHILD		
23		DISTRICT		
24				
25				
26				
27				
28	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: FILING OF AMENDED COMPLAINT & BRIEFING SCHEDULE IN RESPONSE THERETO LEAD CASE NO.: 3:13-CV-3880-SC	-5-		